
Appendix A: Corporate Risk Register Update at Quarter 2 2025/26

Ref	Corporate Risk	2025/26 Q1 Residual	2025/26 Q2 Residual	2025/26 Q3 Residual	2025/26 Q4 Residual	Current RAG
R1	Failure to discharge duty of care for a vulnerable child or vulnerable adult.	10	10			High
R2	Demand for client-based services continues to increase resulting in increased budget pressures and poor outcomes for those people in receipt of our services.	12	12			High
R3	Lack of local special educational needs and disabilities (SEND) placement provision to meet current and future levels of demand.	16	16			Very High
R4	Failure to deliver capital and major projects within identified resources and planned timeframes resulting in significant overspend and reduced project outcomes.	9	12			High
R5	Failure to deliver a sustainable financial strategy that supports delivery of the Council Plan priorities.	9	12			High
R6	Inability to attract and recruit candidates and retain staff leading to an inability to deliver services.	6	6			Medium
R7	Inability to respond adequately to a significant emergency affecting ability to provide priority services.	9	9			High
R8	Risks within the West Mercia community area.	9	9			High
R9_NEW	Risk of financial failure of major supplier.	9	9			High

Risk rating	Action
Very High	Immediate and significant management action and control required. Continued proactive monitoring of risk.
High	Seek cost effective management actions and controls. Continued proactive monitoring of risk.
Medium	Seek cost effective control improvements. Monitor and review risk regularly.
Low	Seek improvements to controls if cost effective to do so. Monitor and review risk regularly.



Ref	Corporate Risk	Risk Category	Risk Appetite	Control Measures/Mitigating Actions	Inherent Risk	Residual
D4	E-2 (- Paul	Otroto de Delle	English A. Annua	Our day of an A halfe		
Ref	Corporate Risk Failure to discharge duty of care for a vulnerable child or vulnerable adult. Risk Owner: Corporate Directors: Community Wellbeing, Children & Young People	Risk Category Strategic Delivery - Council Plan Priority: PEOPLE (Exception 1: Safety and wellbeing of residents)	Exception 1: Averse Limited appetite to risk. The council is responsible for providing services to those who need it most, including vulnerable adults and children and operates rigorous safeguarding measure to ensure the health and safety of residents. The council will continually seek to avoid activities that present a threat to the safety of the public and will do everything possible to prevent the loss of life.	Services for Adults There are clear processes in place for sameday triage of safeguarding concerns raised and action is taken for those at greatest risk. Outcomes are monitored by frontline managers with senior management oversight. All staff access training aligned to their job roles and responsibilities. The Principal Social Worker (PSW) led practitioner forums provide further support and embed practice for staff working with vulnerable adults. Daily case discussions take place and established processes for escalation are in place across the service. The Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) Service follows the Association of Directors of Adult Social Services (ADASS) guidance for case prioritisation. Continuous professional development for staff and providers, additional legal support and constant review and prioritisation of cases waiting for assessment is undertaken. Safe and well checks are undertaken for those at high risk. There are duty arrangements in place to cover emergencies and any urgent work required. Oversight and assurance of multi-agency safeguarding practice is delivered by the Herefordshire Safeguarding Adults Board (HSAB). The Complex Adult Risk Management (CARM) process has been reviewed and strengthened.	Inherent Risk Score Likelihood: 3 (Possible) Impact: 5 (Highly significant) Inherent Risk Score = 15	Residual Risk Score Likelihood: 2 (Unlikely) Impact: 5 (Highly significant) Residual Risk Score = 10
				(CARM) process has been reviewed and strengthened. There is an established process of 'Team Around Me' and 'Breaking the Cycle' forums with partners to ensure a joined-up approach		
				by agencies to support adults with multiple complex vulnerabilities. Children's Services Children's Safeguarding procedures and Practice Standards in place to guide practice.		

Ref	Corporate Risk	Risk Category	Risk Appetite	Control Measures/Mitigating Actions	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Risk Score
				An audit programme is in place to review compliance with practice standards. There is regular (monthly) supervision of social workers – KPI and Aduit review compliance The Better Outcomes Panel is responsible for reviewing children in care placements. The Service Director receives and is the decision maker on all children received into care. Cases with high risk are reviewed within Legal Gateway meeting chaired by Senior Manager and attended by Legal to ensure threshold for proceedings is considered.		
R2	Demand for client-based services continues to increase resulting in increased budget pressures and poor outcomes for those people in receipt of our services. Risk Owner: Corporate Directors: Community Wellbeing, Children & Young People	Strategic Delivery - Council Plan Priority: PEOPLE	Open The council is ambitious in its aim to support children and young people to thrive within highly effective schools and flourishing communities. It seeks out opportunities to collaborate with partners to support residents to live healthy lives within connected and safe communities and is prepared to accept a level of risk to deliver against this priority.	A Budget Resilience Reserve was established in 2024/25 to manage the impact of in-year cost pressures and volatility in demand across social care budgets in 2025/26 and 2026/27. A balance of £7.0m has been carried forward at 1 April 2025 to be allocated by the S151 Officer and Cabinet approval to ensure that appropriate measures have been taken within Directorates. Demand for Adult Services: Demand pressures are managed through a robust 'front-door' prevention strategy including: Promotion of Technology Enabled Care (TEC), Community options via Talk Community model, Monthly review of operational performance data, Pathway Redesign and Structural Reform, Community Brokerage, Case Collaboration and Peer Challenge, Complex Care Pathway Development and a Prevention-focused Culture. Joint working arrangements are in place and the Integrated Care Board (ICB) for complex care pathway has been established for those with high level needs.	Likelihood: 4 (Probable) Impact: 4 (Major) Inherent Risk Score = 16	Likelihood: 4 (Probable) Impact: 3 (Moderate) Residual Risk Score = 12

Ref	Corporate Risk	Risk Category	Risk Appetite	Control Measures/Mitigating Actions	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Risk Score
				Monthly Budget Board meetings are in place to monitor spend and progress in the delivery of savings, and opportunities for income maximisation, is monitored via a dedicated Savings Programme group. Monthly Directorate Budget Reports track spend against budget, identify cost pressures and highlight financial risks. Managing the market work programme will include a redesign of block contract beds to increase capacity. A feasibility review of a council-controlled care capacity for complex/dementia care is underway.		
				Demand for Children's Services: Regular meetings between Service Directors and Finance to monitor budget throughout the year and identify cost pressures in timely manner. There is a strong cultural message from Directorate leadership to ensure Best Value in the delivery of services. The Better Outcome Panel, chaired by the Service Director, oversees placement costs. A Care Placement Sufficiency Strategy has been developed. The Strategy will ensure value for money through sufficiency of safe and appropriate options for young people.		
R3	Lack of local special educational needs and disabilities (SEND) placement provision to meet current and future levels of demand. Risk that the needs of children with SEND cannot be met in Herefordshire and/or Out of County placements will be required, leading to costs exceeding budget and poorer outcomes for children and young people.	Strategic Delivery - Council Plan Priority: PEOPLE	Open The council is ambitious in its aim to support children and young people to thrive within highly effective schools and flourishing communities. It seeks out opportunities to collaborate with partners to support residents to live healthy lives within connected and safe communities and is prepared to accept a level of risk to deliver against this priority.	The Area SEND inspection was completed in December 2024 and an action plan has been developed to address the areas for improvement identified. A new SEND service manager was appointed in September 2024 to provide additional managerial oversight, scrutiny and direction to this part of the service. Business cases for increased Alternative Provision (AP) are in development to maximise inclusive education and reduce the use and cost of independent provision. The proposed additional provision will be delivered through the capital programme in 2026/27.	Likelihood: 4 (Probable) Impact: 4 (Major) Inherent Risk Score = 16	Likelihood: 4 (Probable) Impact: 4 (Major) Residual Risk Score = 16

Ref	Corporate Risk	Risk Category	Risk Appetite	Control Measures/Mitigating Actions	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Risk Score
	Risk Owner: Corporate Director Children & Young People			The Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) Deficit Management Plan is monitored by the Director of Children's Services (DCS) and S151 Officer as part of monthly Budget Boards. The plan includes detailed financial modelling of the impact of current and planned increases in provision. The Department of Education (DfE) is providing funding to develop and deliver a new special school in Herefordshire. The new school will provide 80 places for children with Autistic Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and Severe Learning Difficulties (SLD), a cohort for which there is currently no dedicated incounty provision.		
R4	Failure to deliver capital and major projects within identified resources and planned timeframes resulting in significant overspend and reduced project outcomes. Risk Owner: Corporate Director of Economy & Environment	Strategic Delivery - Council Plan Priority: GROWTH PLACE	Open The council is aspirational and seeks out opportunities to attract investment, drive business growth and development of talent across the county and is prepared to accept a level of risk to deliver against this priority. The council is innovative and pioneering in its commitment to managing the effects of climate change across the county. It has ambitious plans to deliver learning and culture projects and to expand infrastructure, to support economic growth and housing, and is prepared to accept a level of risk to deliver against this priority.	Each major project has an assigned Senior Responsible Officer, a dedicated Project Management Officer Project Manager and a Project Board of relevant representatives from across the council (relevant service area, legal, finance, property services etc) to lead delivery. Additional controls are in place to monitor expenditure in respect of capital and major projects linked to cashflow requirements via monthly Directorate Budget Boards. An external review of capacity and capability of Directorate teams including the Project Management Office and enabling, corporate functions (finance, procurement, legal) has been commissioned to ensure appropriate skills and resources are in place to successfully deliver capital and major projects. Where gaps are identified, additional resources will be allocated. A review of the council's Capital Programme is currently underway to identify opportunities to maximise resources and funding to prioritise delivery of capital projects and minimise risks to delivery and budget.	Likelihood: 4 (Probable) Impact: 4 (Major) Inherent Risk Score = 16	Likelihood: 3 (Possible) Impact: 4 (Major) Residual Risk Score = 12

Ref	Corporate Risk	Risk Category	Risk Appetite	Control Measures/Mitigating Actions	Inherent Risk	Residual
DE	E-9 to I-P	Pite and the l	2	0	Score	Risk Score
R5	Failure to deliver a	Financial	Cautious	Council set a balanced budget for 2025/26 at	Likelihood:	Likelihood:
	sustainable financial		The council has a cautious	its meeting in February 2025.	4 (Probable)	3 (Possible)
	strategy that supports		appetite level towards legal	The forecast outturn position against budget	Immost.	Imm a a t
	delivery of the Council Plan		and compliance risks with	is reported on a monthly basis to Directorates	Impact:	Impact:
	priorities. (Including		robust processes in place to	and CLT.	4 (Major)	4 (Major)
	delivery of savings,		ensure the risk of legal	Effective budget monitoring arrangements	Indiana de Dial	Destination 1
	commercial income, capital		challenge is minimised.	are in place via Directorate Budget Boards to	Inherent Risk	Residual
	receipts and ensuring			monitor delivery of services against agreed	Score = 16	Risk Score =
	resources are available to			budget, achievement of savings and delivery		12
	deliver statutory obligations			of capital and major projects.		
	and fund organisational			Expenditure controls will continue in 25/26		
	development and			via Directorate Control Panels to challenge		
	transformation.)			and reduce, defer or stop spend above £500.		
				High quality financial reporting is achieved		
	Risk Owner:			through additional controls to ensure		
	Director of Finance (S151			forecasting informed by reliable, timely		
	Officer)			activity data.		
				The Finance Team adhere to statutory		
				deadlines; an unqualified audit opinion was		
				achieved in 2023/24 and 2024/25.		
				Additional controls are in place to monitor		
				expenditure in respect of capital and major		
				projects linked to cashflow requirements via		
				monthly Directorate Budget Boards.		
				A review of earmarked reserves undertaken		
				in December 2024 and the Budget Resilience		
				Reserve was established to manage demand		
				pressures in 2025/26 and 2026/27.		
				The outcome of the Fair Funding Review 2.0		
				highlights a potential reduction in funding for		
				the council, resulting in a significant budget		
				gap in each of year of the MTFS. Work to		
				develop the 2026/27 Revenue Budget and		
				Capital Programme is currently underway to		
				resolve the gap and prioritise resources.		
R6	Inability to attract and	Strategic Delivery	Open	The council's Workforce Strategy 2024-2028	Likelihood:	Likelihood:
	recruit candidates and	- Council Plan	The council is committed to	was approved for implementation in April	3 (Possible)	3 (Possible)
	retain staff leading to an	Priority:	improving the use of	2024. The Strategy has been developed to	(:::::::)	(
	inability to deliver services.	TRANSFORMATIO	technology across its	recruit, retain and invest in a skilled and well-	Impact:	Impact:
	Loss of skills knowledge	N	services and will embrace	trained workforce.	3 (Moderate)	2 (Minor)
	and experience (retention &		new technologies, test ideas	A Children & Young People Workforce	- (_ (
	recruitment) in relation to		and develop a culture of	Strategy, aligned to the Corporate Workforce	Inherent Risk	Residual
	staffing.		innovation to improve	Strategy with a specific focus on ambitions	Score = 9	Risk Score =
	Cannig.				00010 = 0	_
	J		services and deliver value for	for staff in the C&YP Directorate, is in place		6

Ref	Corporate Risk	Risk Category	Risk Appetite	Control Measures/Mitigating Actions	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Risk Score
	Risk Owner: Director of HR and OD		money. Transformation and Digital Strategies in place to support deliver of aims.	to support permanent recruitment and development of staff internally. The Spirit of Herefordshire recruitment brand has been developed to increase awareness of job opportunities within the council and county and provide relevant information to ensure a positive candidate experience and support strong applications. The council offers welcome and retention scheme payments to respond to challenges in the recruitment and retention of qualified and experienced social workers. Through the council's Learning & Organisation (L&OD) team, activity to identify and recruit to new apprenticeship programmes is underway. A leadership development programme (@LeadHC) has been developed and will be launched in 2025/26 to address gaps in the learning and development offer and strengthen leadership skills and capabilities. The Lead@HC Programme will aim to develop inspirational leaders across four themes: Grow, Aspire, Empower, Innovate.		
R7	Inability to respond adequately to a significant emergency affecting ability to provide priority services. Including severe weather, critical damage to council buildings, loss of power or infrastructure, cyber security. Risk Owner: Corporate Leadership Team/Cabinet Members	Legal & Compliance Governance Data & Technology Security Reputational	Cautious The council has a cautious appetite level towards these risk categories with robust processes in place to ensure the impact on service delivery is minimised.	Gold/Silver emergency planning arrangements are in place across the council. Training has been delivered to Gold/Silver level officers in 2025. An Information Directory has been set up to ensure responsible individuals can provide an effective/timely response. Training exercises are planned in 2025/26 with partner agencies to test and review the adequacy of arrangements.	Likelihood: 3 (Possible) Impact: 4 (Major) Inherent Risk Score = 12	Likelihood: 3 (Possible) Impact: 3 (Moderate) Residual Risk Score = 9

Ref	Corporate Risk	Risk Category	Risk Appetite	Control Measures/Mitigating Actions	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Risk Score
R8	Risks within the West Mercia community area including: Terrorism Cyber and fraud Serious and organised crime (such as people trafficking) Accidents and system failures (such as power failure or an interruption to water supplies) Natural and environmental hazards (such as flooding or heatwaves) Human and animal disease (such as flu pandemics or foot & mouth) Societal risks (such as riots) Risk Owner: Corporate Leadership Team/Cabinet Members	Legal & Compliance Governance Data & Technology Security Reputational	Cautious The council has a cautious appetite level towards these risk categories with robust processes in place to ensure the impact on service delivery is minimised.	The council is a member of West Mercia Local Resilience Forum (LRF). The member organisations meet regularly to assess the risks of accidents and emergencies and put in place plans to prevent or reduce risks. The LRF has close link to government departments to share information on local risks. Members undertake training and exercises together to prepare for emergencies. The aim of the West Mercia LRF is to ensure there is an appropriate level of preparedness to enable an effective multi-agency response to emergency incidents in the West Mercia area and to get partners working together to ensure that preparations and plans are in place for emergencies. The LRF Community Risk Register is maintained and published by West Mercia LRF. This register aims to localise some of the items included in the National Risk Register. Council officers took part in Exercise Pegasus, the UK's largest national pandemic simulation, in September and October 2025. Participants engaged and contributed to a comprehensive workbook to guide future pandemic responses. This whole system approach will ensure that communities will be better protected and supported in times of crisis.	Likelihood: 3 (Possible) Impact: 4 (Major) Inherent Risk Score = 12	Likelihood: 3 (Possible) Impact: 3 (Moderate) Residual Risk Score = 9
R9	Risk of financial failure of major supplier resulting in disruption to the delivery of statutory services or major projects. Risk Owner: Corporate Leadership Team/Cabinet Members	Strategic Delivery - Council Plan Priority: PEOPLE, PLACE, GROWTH (Exception 1: Safety and wellbeing of residents)	Exception 1: Averse Limited appetite to risk. The council is responsible for providing services to those who need it most, including vulnerable adults and children and operates rigorous safeguarding measure to ensure the health and safety of residents. The	Procurement activity across the council includes financial assessments, credit checks and related due diligence to monitor supplier financial health and quality of service provision. These arrangements are currently under review and will be strengthened to include wider market intelligence to mitigate the risk of potential business failure by a company bidding to contract with the council for goods/services.	Likelihood: 3 (Possible) Impact: 4 (Major) Inherent Risk Score = 12	Likelihood: 3 (Possible) Impact: 3 (Moderate) Residual Risk Score = 9

Ref	Corporate Risk	Risk Category	Risk Appetite	Control Measures/Mitigating Actions	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Risk Score
			council will continually seek to avoid activities that present a threat to the safety of the public and will do everything possible to prevent the loss of life.	Proactive relationships and effective collaboration with key suppliers encourage information sharing and joint risk planning to identify potential risks in a timely manner to enable prompt recovery action. Contractual safeguards for major contracts and suppliers including performance metrics, delivery timelines, penalties for delays and terminations arrangements are in place to protect the council's financial and legal interests in the event of business failure.		