

Agenda item no. 5 - Questions from members of the public

| Question Number | Questioner | Question | Question to |
|--|-------------------------|---|--|
| PQ 1 | Mr Mead, Leominster | <p>When the current hospital was built there was concern the number of beds was insufficient being less than the beds available in the existing hospitals. The council answer was that with better facilities patients would be treated quicker and released faster.</p> <p>Since then, together with reduced home care, and thus bed blocking, it has proved the concern right.</p> <p>The population has increased considerably and with the proposed increase of 10,000 new houses to be built together with other thousands built and to be built and now a university, an aging population, considerable Welsh usage, as they have very poor facilities in our border counties, an increase in beds and facilities is necessary with no possibility of a new build on the current hospital site. The current bus station site should be used for this and not a multi-storey car park.</p> | Cabinet member adults, health and wellbeing / Cabinet member roads and regulatory services |
| <p>Response:</p> <p>Thank you for your question. Any consideration of increasing hospital beds and facilities in the county is a matter for Wye Valley Trust and the NHS, as NHS capital monies would be required for any new build. As with any development, in the event that the hospital brought forward proposals, the council would work with the hospital to determine how best it could achieve its objectives.</p> <p>In terms of support for people on discharge from hospital, the council has worked hard over the last 12 months to secure more homecare capacity which is in line with current demand. We continue to keep this under review to ensure that we are meeting people's needs as we recognise that there is increasing demand. The council has staff based in the hospital working with the wards to ensure timely discharge of people.</p> | | | |
| PQ 2 | Mr McKay, Leominster | <p>Being informed at recent Walkers are Welcome meeting that the delay with proposed footpath diversion DZC135 in Leominster being due to lack of resources, and the walked line now being obstructed, with your "Changes to PROW" webpage highways map showing this proposed diversion for some time, and it not clear which agenda budget heading this comes under, may I ask if this budget covers this, it being part of a popular walk from Leominster, and could you kindly advise when we may expect this diversion to be implemented?</p> | Cabinet member transport and infrastructure |
| <p>Response:</p> <p>Thank you for your question. The relevant team are fully resourced at present. The referred to lines should not be shown on the online map as it is not a current diversion case, they will therefore be removed in due course. If the path is obstructed, it should be reported in the normal way, the Public Rights of Way team will then investigate accordingly.</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---|---|
| PQ3 | Ms Furniss, | <p>Cllr Price has said that any proposal for cycling infrastructure alongside the Southern Link Road would have no cycling network to connect to at either end of the road so he is only looking to invest in further active travel infrastructure for the Holme Lacy area and nothing to link cycling networks in the Belmont area to schools, higher education, shops and jobs.</p> <p>The Park and Cycle site located at Belmont Abbey & NHS Trust services, could be linked to the City by upgrading the off-road footpath to a cycle path to link into existing active travel infrastructure in Belmont. Where is the option appraisal and traffic modelling that shows that with minimal funding this footpath upgrade offered poorer value for money and had a lower BCR than investing£40million in a new road?</p> | Cabinet member transport and infrastructure |
| <p>Response: The construction of a new road and active travel measures are not mutually exclusive. The council is committed to the development of a broader by-pass scheme to accommodate required growth in the county and reduce traffic within Hereford to enable roads to be more appealing to active travel users and Phase 1 will be the first step towards this. The council has always seen the new road as part of a broader package of proposals, including active travel measures and to this end, the council will be consulting on its Local Transport Plan and Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure plans later this year to give a more comprehensive vision of transport infrastructure proposals for the Hereford area.</p> | | | |
| PQ 4 | Mrs Morawiecka, Hereford | <p>Cllrs Price & Stoddart told the Connected Communities Scrutiny meeting that “The Council has employed AECOM to undertake work to update the previous business cases for the Western Bypass”.</p> <p>However, the Full Western Bypass does not appear as part of the Council’s Capital Programme. Would they please confirm the total value of the contract placed with AECOM to do this work and the impact of this expenditure on the Council’s budget?</p> | Cabinet member transport and infrastructure |
| <p>Response: In the paper to Cabinet in March 2024, titled New Road Strategy for Hereford, Cabinet gave approval for work to progress on both Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the Hereford Western Bypass. As part of this approval a capital budget of £10.3m was provided for Phase 1 development and a revenue budget of £0.76m for the development of Phase 2 to progress it to business case stage.</p> <p>Contracts for the Phase 2 Options Review and Business Case are not yet finalised but are hoped to be in the coming days. Once sealed, the details of the contracts will be published. The cost of the work will be within the revenue budget made available.</p> | | | |
| PQ 5 | Ms Laan | <p>The Chancellor and the Transport Secretary have both said that new housing should be allocated close to commuter stations to enable sustainable transport links between homes and employment sites. Therefore, to access Government funding to bring forward the increase in homes proposed for</p> | Cabinet member transport and infrastructure / |

| | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|---|
| | | Herefordshire why does the Capital Strategy omit capital investment to bring forward business cases to open new train stations to link housing settlements sustainably with new employment opportunities, as well as new GP, dental and hospital facilities to support such housing growth? | Cabinet member Environment |
| Response: | | | |
| The Council is starting the process of reviewing its Local Plan to accommodate the additional new mandatory housing growth (27,000 new homes) that has been allocated by the government. The new National Planning Policy Framework published in December requires that growth which includes new housing and employment is established in deliverable and sustainable locations, this assessment includes access to transport options. As this plan develops there will be an opportunity for consultation on not only the location of the housing but also the medical, education and transport infrastructure needed to support the growth. This plan will impact on future capital strategies as government and developer investment becomes available. | | | |
| PQ 6 | Mrs Protherough, Hereford | At cabinet on 13 th January 2025 Cllr Price said that “ <i>The Hereford Western Bypass is at FULL BUSINESS CASE STAGE whereas a potential station at Pontrilas is only at Strategic Outline Business Case (SOBC), considering where a scheme is viable.</i> ” However, at subsequent Scrutiny and Cabinet meetings it has been made clear that Cllr Price was wrong. The Western Bypass does not have a Full Business Case and nor does the Southern Link Road. If the Western Bypass is at Outline Business Case, the same as Pontrilas Railway Station (Cllr Price answer 13 th Jan 2025) why has no capital funding been included in the capital budgets for this new station, especially when the Government last week said it is looking for new housing to be delivered near train stations ? | Cabinet member Transport and Infrastructure |
| Response: | | | |
| The two schemes are at different stages of development. The Western Bypass Phase 1 has planning permission and a former full business case which demonstrates its viability and has provided a Strategic Outline Business Case, therefore as per the council’s Capital Guidance Policy, this means the capital scheme can be added to the Capital programme for approval by full Council. Golden Valley Railway Station and Phase 2 of the Hereford Western Bypass do not yet have a Strategic Outline Business case and therefore cannot be included as proposed additions to the Capital Programme. At its meeting in March 2024 Cabinet allocated a revenue budget to both schemes so that they can be developed to a stage for consideration within the council’s Capital Programme. | | | |
| PQ 7 | Mrs Morris, Hereford | The Capital Strategy says the Southern Link Road means Hereford City will have started on its journey to create resilience, better control of its traffic and allow for space within the city centre to be re-purposed, improve customer satisfaction, and a reduction in lost time traversing busy city centre corridors. | Cabinet member transport and infrastructure |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | | <p>The Roman Road north of Hereford from the A49 to the Worcester Road is currently a single carriageway, dog legged, traffic light-controlled railway bridge crossing, prone to flooding and a risk to large lorries trying to access established businesses on Holmer Road and the city.</p> <p>With 1,000s of new homes at Holmer why has Herefordshire Council not identified this stretch of road and bridge as requiring an urgent upgrade and worthy of any funding in their Capital Strategy?</p> | |
|--|--|---|--|

Response:
The Holmer road railway bridge is owned and managed by Network Rail, and outside of the council's control or direct ability to change. The current bridge does impact access to the north of the city, and we have raised these issues with Network Rail in the past. However, there are no currently known plans for Network Rail to make improvements to the bridge. Future phases of the proposed western bypass include the potential for an extended new connection to the Worcester Road.

| | | | |
|------|-------------------------|--|---|
| PQ 8 | Mr Morfett, Hereford | <p>It has been reported that Herefordshire Council is revisiting its car parking strategy. As the majority of vehicle traffic is seeking to enter Hereford and approximately just 5% is looking to go through Hereford, how can the Council's Capital Strategy allocate capital funds to new road infrastructure to reduce congestion in the City if it does not yet know where those car parks should be located?</p> | Cabinet member transport and infrastructure |
|------|-------------------------|--|---|

Response:
The Council is currently undertaking a masterplan exercise to investigate the potential redevelopment opportunities around Merton Meadow and deliver a flood alleviation scheme for the area. Any development would likely mean the closure of the Merton car parks. A report has been commissioned to look at the impact of that closure, what alternative mitigation provision may be required, and provide a summary of the current and likely future usage of car parks across the City Centre. The Council recognises the need to provide sufficient parking for City Centre access, the hospital and the Transport Hub and this work will ensure that the council can consider appropriate alternative parking provision. The council has to date only allocated capital funds to the Phase 1 of the Western Bypass, which whilst it is anticipated will reduce traffic in the south of the city, will have limited impact on public parking demand in the city centre and therefore parking locations is not a prerequisite to progressing that proposal. The council is commissioning a masterplan to consider how housing targets and growth can be best be accommodated within the city by the provision of a growth corridor alongside the proposed Phase 2 of the bypass and will include wider infrastructure demands such as parking need and improved active travel measures.

| | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| PQ 9 | Mr Frecknall, Hereford | 'It has been reported that Herefordshire Council is to re-examine its car parking strategy. Given that most traffic entering Hereford has business within the city, with less than 10% passing straight through, how can the Council's Capital Strategy allocate funds to building new roads if the distribution of additional car parking is as yet unknown?' | Cabinet member transport and infrastructure |
| <p>Response:</p> <p>The Council is currently undertaking a masterplan exercise to investigate the potential redevelopment opportunities around Merton Meadow and deliver a flood alleviation scheme for the area. Any development would likely mean the closure of the Merton car parks. A report has been commissioned to look at the impact of that closure, what alternative mitigation provision may be required, and provide a summary of the current and likely future usage of car parks across the City Centre. The Council recognises the need to provide sufficient parking for City Centre access, the hospital and the Transport Hub and this work will ensure that the council can consider appropriate alternative parking provision. The council has to date only allocated capital funds to the Phase 1 of the Western Bypass, which whilst it is anticipated will reduce traffic in the south of the city, will have limited impact on public parking demand in the city centre and therefore parking locations is not a prerequisite to progressing that proposal. The council is commissioning a masterplan to consider how housing targets and growth can be best be accommodated within the city by the provision of a growth corridor alongside the proposed Phase 2 of the bypass and will include wider infrastructure demands such as parking need and improved active travel measures.</p> | | | |
| PQ 10 | Mr Milln, Hereford | <p>In January 2019 Herefordshire Council consulted on various active travel improvements within the City for the 'Hereford Transport Package'. There was no published report and none of the measures were implemented.</p> <p>Councillor Proctor reminded me recently that among them were works to tackle the barriers caused by the road/rail pinch points which particularly affect his College ward residents.</p> <p>https://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/16799/route-1-college-road</p> <p>According to its post-opening assessment, the CLR significantly increased traffic on College Road; making the narrow rail over-bridge linking to Widemarsh Street even more hazardous.</p> <p>With simple and effective measures like this still not addressed how will the administration explain why it now wants to borrow a further £30m capital for its SLR with a hugely elevated and expensive bridge across the rail line which lacks active travel provision, business case or design agreement with Network Rail?</p> | Cabinet member transport and infrastructure |

Response:

Each scheme in the council's capital programme is considered on its own merits and the value they provide to the county.

Phase 1 of the Hereford Western Bypass will enable immediate improved access to and from the Rotherwas estate for both commuter and freight transport and reduce the traffic on other routes, such as Holme Lacy Road, enabling active travel to be a more attractive and convenient option than a route along the proposed new road. Phase 1 of the Hereford Western Bypass will set the foundations for the completion of the wider bypass and once completed, this new bypass connection for the A49, from the south to the north of the city, will deliver the Western Growth Corridor, creating over 10,000 new homes and over 300 acres of employment land. This will result in future recurrent income for the council which is expected to be greater than the cost of borrowing.

A business case and design approval from Network Rail were previously in place for this scheme and these are currently being revisited to ensure that they continue to comply with contemporary requirements and standards of both the council and Network Rail.

| | | | |
|-------|--------------------------|---|---|
| PQ 11 | Ms Seekings, Hereford | In 2019, Herefordians were invited to advise on alternative transport options to solve Hereford's traffic problems and make Hereford a safer, healthier place to move around. Many people spent extensive time making careful submissions. The data was never analysed nor any report published by the then transport councillor, Philip Price. Now that he is again in that role, could he tell us what he intends to do with that 2019 data, so that he might implement the many cost effective measures suggested, before he considers spending millions on transport options for car drivers. I understand that there are Plans for Local Transport, Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure currently under development; please can Mr Price assure us that the 2019 data collected, which is still valid, will be taken into consideration and released to the public and by when. | Cabinet member transport and infrastructure |
|-------|--------------------------|---|---|

Response:

The Local Transport Plan and Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan are being drafted based on all currently available relevant data and will be subject to full consultation. Both of these plans need to consider both the current and future needs of the county, including for example government's new mandatory housing target which will bring over 27,000 new homes over the next 20 years. Therefore, data previously collected in 2019 is now dated. However, all Herefordshire residents will have a chance to comment, and raise any previous suggestions, through the consultation process.

| | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|---|---|
| PQ 12 | Mr Hardy, Hereford | Assuming it cannot be both, is the proposed road to the west of Hereford to be a bypass or a service road for new houses? If it is to be a bypass, and given that past research showed that only 7% of traffic crossing the river is through traffic, why is this administration not prioritizing more productive and far cheaper measures | Cabinet member transport and infrastructure |
|-------|-----------------------|---|---|

| | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|---|
| | | to solve congestion, such as a comprehensive cycle network, and Park and Ride, especially connecting to the NE quarter where peak flow is double that at other times? | |
| <p>Response: The Hereford Western Bypass will enable through traffic to be removed from the centre of the city and form part of the Western Growth Corridor to enable government housing targets to be delivered sustainably. The council are working closely with National Highways with regards to the route potentially being adopted as trunk road and a formal diversion of the A49. This will ensure getting the balance of direct access from housing developments onto the proposed route and other urban corridors right to ensure that the new route remains an attractive alternative to travelling through the city.</p> <p>The council has always seen the new road as part of a wider package of measures and not as a road in isolation. The level of mandatory housing growth that has been allocated to the council will only reinforces the need to have a balance of new roads and other active travel and public transport measures in place to accommodate the additional demand, for which it is very unlikely that active travel measures alone could support.</p> | | | |
| PQ 13 | Mr Setterfield, Hereford | The capital budget line for Council to consider on 7th February includes £260k borrowing for restitution at The Weir following the failure of the Yazor Brook FAS and the damage which resulted in 2012. With the unanticipated post-damage works done at the outfall in 2012 and the £500pw rental payments for the 'temporary' storage area since 2012, what has been the total cost of this scheme? | Cabinet member finance and corporate services |
| <p>Response: The £260k in the delivery programme will be used to make good the site, remove the need for materials storage and resolve the need for any rental payments. The capital works carried out from 2012 incurred costs of £656k up to April 2018. The revenue costs for the rental payments have been £53k to date.</p> | | | |