

Questioner:	Sarah Melia, Leominster
<p data-bbox="168 284 324 319">Question:</p> <p data-bbox="168 335 2027 399">Reference Cllr Cornthwaites question at 35mins into the Audit and Governance Committee meeting of 23/07/24 about the support available for Cllr's who have a code of conduct issue raised about them.</p> <p data-bbox="168 470 2072 638">If someone who reports a code of conduct issue about a Cllr. requires support from a third party, will they be allowed to nominate someone to support them, in the same way proposed by Cllr Cornthwaite for the person having the complaint made against them? If someone requires support following a complaint being made against them or by them and they speak to a third party, that third party is not bound by the confidentiality agreement signed by Cllr's at all levels. Is nominating someone who has not signed a confidentiality agreement, to act as a support person to complainant or complainer, deemed appropriate by the committee?</p> <p data-bbox="168 718 638 753">Response from the Chairperson:</p> <p data-bbox="168 769 2027 936">Investigations are considered more effective and fair when confidence is maintained. However, the Council recognises that circumstances sometimes dictate that it would be prudent to promote other priorities over confidentiality. This could include where a party requires pastoral wellbeing support during what can be stressful experience for the individual. In this situation, the party (whether councillor or non-councillor) is asked to contact the Council to discuss the appropriateness of the support and limitations or controls that may be necessary to maintain confidence.</p>	