

**Supplement to the agenda for**

# **Cabinet**

**Thursday 27 October 2022**

**2.30 pm**

**The Conference Room, Herefordshire Council Offices, Plough Lane, Hereford, HR4 0LE**

	<b>Pages</b>
<b>4. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC</b>	<b>3 - 4</b>



**PUBLIC QUESTIONS TO CABINET – 27 OCTOBER 2022**

**Question 1**

**From: Mr P McKay, Leominster**

**To: Cabinet Member, Infrastructure & Transport**

Referencing 21 July question, would you add a paragraph to the Parish Submission part of the Research Guidance, [https://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/1861/research\\_guidance](https://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/1861/research_guidance), to incorporate the CRF issue, CRF being a non-statutory term introduced by Government Guidelines (Ministry of Town and Country Planning Circular No. 81, 1950), being greenways that Parish Meetings advised that public were entitled to use with vehicles, but mainly (not only) used as a footpath, without explaining how to record CRF and that are shown on Herefordshire Definitive Map as Footpath, to ensure that this matter of fact is acknowledged and addressed in the review of how the Parish Submissions are held and practicalities of making them more widely available, the Rights of Way Improvement Plan (draft) that acknowledges that shown byways and bridleways are fragmented, the 2021-41 Place Shaping Local Plan, and the Local Transport Plan?

**Response**

Thank you very much for your question. I believe the council already provides a Public Rights of Way Glossary of Terms which is available on the website, the CRF reference is covered and detailed as:

'CRF - Carriage road footpath - A non-statutory term used during the compilation of the first definitive maps for some routes that were intended to be recorded as RUPPs - to describe a route that was considered to carry vehicles but in actual fact used mainly by the public as a footpath.'

For completeness RUPPS are also described.

'RUPPs – Roads Used as Public Paths. A type of highway recorded on the original definitive map.

The right of the public over RUPPs was unclear and remaining RUPPs were reclassified as restricted byways in 2006.'

There are no plans to add anything further to the Research Guidance at this time. If I have misunderstood the question please come back to me.

**Question 2**

**From: Name and address supplied**

**To: Cabinet Member, Children and Families**

It was alleged in July at Full Council that the FII figures in Herefordshire are alarmingly high. In Full Council on Friday, a Member asked whether an audit of the FII figures had been done.

The Deputy Leader replied:

“I believe we have been looking into how many cases are linked to this .... I believe officers have already given a commitment to look into that. My understanding is that we as an authority are not in a position where the number of cases we have is unusual when considered against other authorities. I believe that is the position.”

Can the audit data on which these reassurances are based be published in the written answer to this question, so that Members and the public can see the grounds on which public reassurances on this critical safeguarding issue have been given?

**Response**

There is no evidence that we have seen that FII figures in Herefordshire are 'alarmingly high'. The Deputy Leader correctly stated at the meeting of the Full Council that the service is engaged in an ongoing and current review of cases to clarify how many are linked to FII, recognising that statements in the public domain about the rates are a matter of public interest and concern.

The early indications are of a low incidence but the work is not concluded. The activity will be concluded by Friday 18 November and we are content to publish the data after that date.