

Appendix 1 Recommendations made by Connected Communities Scrutiny Committee, July 2023 – January 2025

Wednesday 19 July 2023					
Pre-decision scrutiny of the forthcoming Cabinet decision on Review of New Hereford Library and Learning Resource Centre Location					
Rec. No.	Recommendation	Date Sent	Due Date	Recd Date	Response
1	Recommendations a) and b) in the Cabinet report be removed and the Maylords project paused rather than cancelled, pending the provision of a full business case for the Shirehall option, to ensure that the full capital and revenue cost implications are worked out, and to enable proper comparison of the two options, thus ensuring that adequate information is available to inform a decision that carries significant financial risks.	17-Oct-24	20-Sep-23	28-Oct-24	Scrutiny recommendations upheld. 20 July 2023 Cabinet meeting decision (b) confirmed that 'the decision to relocate the Library and Learning Centre to the Maylord Orchards site is paused during the development of a Full Business Case for the Shirehall proposal'.
2	Detailed consideration is given in the full business case for the proposed Shirehall development in relation to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decarbonisation of the Shirehall, in line with the council's existing commitment to become net zero carbon by 2030; • Clarifying the potential for expanding and enhancing the services provided, including providing indicative costings and indicating potential funding sources; and • Identifying and addressing potential impacts of any expanded and enhanced facilities and services, such as performance space, on commercial operators offering similar services to those envisaged at the Shirehall. 	17-Oct-24	20-Sep-23	28-Oct-24	Scrutiny recommendation acknowledged. The Full Business Case will focus solely on the relocation of Hereford Library and Learning Centre at Shirehall as was agreed in the original Cabinet decision. The wider renovation of Shirehall is part of a separately funded £4.2m capital project. This phased renovation includes improvements to the sustainability and decarbonisation of Shirehall (subject to listed restrictions) within phases 1 and 2. The potential for expanding and enhancing services at Shirehall is captured in a phased plan up to 2029. This will be subject to further capital bids and other external funding potential, where possible. The Full Business Case will outline opportunities for commercial sustainability within Shirehall, to include an events and performance space in the Assembly Hall library space and sensory room/ makerspace hire. The wider Shirehall project includes the potential for meeting room hire, court hire (meeting spaces, inquests and film/ TV hire) and a café /community kitchen offer.
3	The full business case addresses all of the 'Criteria for Review' points identified in the 'Scope of Review of New Hereford Library and Learning Resource Centre Location', with particular attention to ensuring that the criteria on Value for Money and Financial Viability are	17-Oct-24	20-Sep-23	28-Oct-24	Scrutiny recommendation acknowledged. The Full Business Case will include all financial information including full capital budget breakdown of Library and Learning Centre works, income/expenditure forecasts, phased cost breakdowns for supporting Shirehall refurbishment works and resource costs.

	given adequate attention, given the concerning lack of information on these aspects in the report before Cabinet on 20 July 2023.				
	Scrutiny is supported to ensure that the full evidence base underpinning future reports, especially financial information, is published in sufficient time; and that requests for information from scrutiny committee members are responded to in good time.	17-Oct-24	20-Sep-23	28-Oct-24	Council Officers support the recommendation and will ensure that any financial information and evidence are published in sufficient time, and responses actioned.
	An adequate Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) is produced in respect of the potential decision to terminate the Maylord Orchards capital project.	17-Oct-24	20-Sep-23	28-Oct-24	Scrutiny recommendation upheld. The library team will carry out an EIA in respect to the potential decision to terminate the Maylord Orchards capital project to fully understand any impacts.

23 October 2023

Pre-decision scrutiny of the forthcoming Cabinet decision on 'Review of the Full Business Case for the Shirehall as a location for the future of Hereford City Library'

Rec. No.	Recommendation	Date Sent	Due Date	Recd Date	Response
1	Clarify and include in the Shirehall business case the full costs of cancelling Maylord Orchards, including the implications of decapitalisation on revenue budgets.		23-Dec-23	26-Oct-23	<p>As agreed in the Methodology Paper on the Shirehall full business case (FBC), following the Cabinet decision on 20 July 2023, the FBC was prepared as a standalone report, exploring the potential of the Shirehall on its own merit and to focus solely on the proposed library and learning centre development, acknowledging that this is part of a wider project to bring the whole Shirehall building back into use. It will consider the assembly hall and undercroft spaces and the wider key works required to enable access and operation of these parts of the building only. Estimates for the full capital costs to the Shirehall building will be developed in conjunction with this FBC and provided as contextual information. A standard FBC is used to set out all projects which meet the requirements of the Governments green boost, therefore the full cost of the Shirehall project were not included in the Shirehall business case. The refurbishment work to the Shirehall is clearly a dependency but the FBC is about placing the library and the learning centre into the allotted space within the Shirehall just as the business case for Maylord Orchards was about placing it, into that allotted space.</p> <p>The full sunk cost of the Maylords Orchard's project remains confidential at this stage due to the ongoing negotiations with contractors. Whilst there is acknowledgement there will be some cost in respect of Maylord Orchards, this Cabinet takes a strategic view point and that value for money means using resources</p>

					<p>effectively and efficiently, and safeguarding the Council's assets in their entirety. Thus, in respect of the sunk costs which are undesirable the right decision will provide us with a world class library.</p> <p>The original £3.5m library budget was funded from £3m from the Stronger Towns fund and £0.5m from Hereford Council capital receipts reserve. However, Stronger Towns top sliced £60k to pay for management costs of the project so that the final award was actually £2,439,633.</p> <p>Therefore, it has been assumed that the future budget of Shirehall Library will be £3.005m and this will be funded from the remaining capital funding - i.e. £3.5m, less £434.3k prior spend and the £60.4k top slice. This funding will support all of the works necessary to open the library service provision.</p>
2	Publish the full breakdown of the £4.2 million cost of the Phase 1 refurbishment of Shirehall.		23-Dec-23	26-Oct-23	<p>The full breakdown of the £4.2 million cost of the Phase 1 refurbishment of Shirehall are:</p> <p>Build Works £2.079m Design and Preliminaries £682k Inflation £113k Fee, Risk, Contingencies £1.178m On costs/ Allowances £140k</p> <p>Confirmed that no further level of detail would be provided to prevent weakening the future competitive process.</p>
3	Publish the estimated costs of phases 2-5 of Shirehall refurbishment.		23-Dec-23	26-Oct-23	<p>The continued phased refurbishment project of Shirehall does not form part of the Shirehall Full Business Case and has not been agreed. The phase 1 refurbishment work will bring the whole building back into use.</p>
4	Recalculate the BCR of the Shirehall proposal, to include the cancellation costs of the Maylord Orchards and the £4.2m Phase 1 refurbishment costs required to make the library viable.		23-Dec-23	26-Oct-23	<p>All Herefordshire Stronger Towns Fund projects are independently modelled and analysed as part of a Benefit Cost Ratio exercise by Rose Regeneration. This methodology has been considered and agreed by Chamberlain Walker working on behalf of Central Government. To allow a fair comparison with Maylord Orchards, the new Shirehall full business case (FBC) was independently analysed by Rose Regeneration using this same Government model. This is an independent process with no council involvement.</p> <p>The assessment of economic benefits for this Towns Fund scheme has been undertaken in full compliance with the latest HM Treasury Green Book (2020) and relevant Departmental guidance, such as Department of Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC). The economic modelling includes a</p>

					<p>number of monetised benefits, consistent with Government guidance. These included regeneration benefits, social benefit skills, enterprise and tourism (cultural benefits).</p> <p>The additionality factor in the BCR calculation is 66% as stated on page 47 of the FBC. The additionality factor figure of 0.65 in Table 18 was written in error but the calculation has been made using the correct additionality factor of 66%. (It is also noted that this same error exists within the Maylord Orchard FBC).</p> <p>The methodology used in the BCR calculation for Shirehall FBC is wholly consistent with all Towns Fund projects. Rose Regeneration confirmed that no extraneous costs, for example building repair costs or purchase costs, were used in the Maylord Orchards FBC calculation or any of the other 15 Towns Fund projects, so are immaterial to the overall calculation and should not be included.</p> <p>Therefore the Maylords Orchards BCR did not include the cost of £4.147m to purchase the lease for Maylords Orchards and therefore in maintaining a level playing field, Cabinet will be recalculating the BCR.</p>
5	Include full costs of operating Shirehall in the revenue budget to enable a comparison between both business cases.		23-Dec-23	26-Oct-23	<p>An operational revenue budget currently exists for Shirehall. Whilst it is true to say that the use of the site is limited at this time, some aspects would show very little variance - for example, the main building is currently heated via a single pipe system meaning the heating is either on or off. To ensure that the building does not deteriorate, and in recognition that an area is still manned 24/7, the heating is turned on in line with the normal operational cycle. We would look to see a reduction in future heating costs as part of the decarbonisation works.</p> <p>The revenue budget table set out in the Cabinet report covers Library Service costs associated with the HLLC occupying either site. If all operational costs were to be factored into the Shirehall FBC, then further work would need to be undertaken for both business cases as the FBC's for either location have never included the wider asset costs so in that respect, they are both actually equitable.</p> <p>For clarity, the £42k figure shown in the proposed Service revenue budget to occupy Maylord Orchards is to cover off payment of non-domestic rates. The row heading says 'rent/ rates' and, as Scrutiny pointed out, no rental was intended to be charged if the HLLC occupies Maylord Orchards. However, all</p>

					operational costs for occupation of Maylord Orchards need to be budgeted for as these are new costs to the Council.
6	Ensure that the Shirehall risk matrix include detailed mitigation of listed risks.		23-Dec-23	26-Oct-23	There is sufficient mitigation in terms of specialist contractor requirements or volatile construction market. It is accepted as a risk but the risk is low. The mitigation is that the project team will work with the Council's commercial services team and appointed contractor consultant to understand the market for such contractors. This is a relatively small area of risk that isn't covered by the everyday works, and large companies (who usually manage such contracts) are aware to look out for in this type of building but this will be covered by the Council's commercial services team.
7	Ensure that the risk relating to the £4.2m additional cost is included in the risk matrix.		23-Dec-23		
8	Remove the recommendation to cancel Maylord Orchards project at this stage.		23-Dec-23	26-Oct-23	This is accepted by Cabinet and will remove recommendation (d) from the Cabinet report.
9	Clarify anticipated commercial revenue from events.		23-Dec-23		
10	Ensure that the business case makes sure that the proposed library is a welcoming space for all users regardless of their accessibility needs.		23-Dec-23	26-Oct-23	It is the intention to make any and all of our buildings as accessible as possible. The decoration and internal design would make sure the space that the public will see is welcoming, as we would in any of our public buildings.
11	Reconsider how the project can maximise carbon reduction e.g. through insulation and glazing in line with the council's net zero commitment.		23-Dec-23	26-Oct-23	Where possible we will make sure that our buildings meet all of our commitments. With net zero commitments we do have to realise that some buildings, especially those built over 200 years ago, are in themselves an icon and the extent of their iconic status is because of their quiriness in regard to glazing and certain aspects of their design that were never intended to be altered to modern requirements. There is no reason to say don't do it, but (in the Cabinet member's opinion) should be minimalistic rather than maximum. Where there are certain things that cannot be done, there lots of other initiatives or alterations to the building that can be made to improve this situation. The building to this Council is unique and iconic, and in the rest of the country there are thousands of such buildings which have been very sympathetically brought into the 21st century and we are sure the Shirehall will fulfil that as well.

12	Include and identify the cost of measures to improve pedestrian accessibility to the site.		23-Dec-23	26-Oct-23	<p>The question regarding access (crossing the road with the bus station in front of the Shirehall) has been addressed within the Hereford Master Plan. Confirmed that pedestrianisation of the area outside the Shirehall would be improved. But these things are not vitally necessary in the first year or two of doing the installation and in the time it takes to make the changes to the Shirehall, pedestrianisation could be one of the things that was finished.</p> <p>Noted that access to the Shirehall via St Peter's Square from High Town was improved following St Owen's Street cycling street works carried out under the previous administration. With the courtesy crossing through and from the island, there are also dropped curbs allowing for northern access to the Shire hall. There have been no injuries or accidents reported in the last 10 years.</p>
----	--	--	-----------	-----------	--

8 November 2023

Herefordshire Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan

Rec. No.	Recommendation	Date Sent	Due Date	Recd Date	Response
1	That more and better secondary data be used, particularly that data beyond Strava is used for leisure, and that the LCWIP states and mitigates biases in that data.	17-Oct-24	23-Jan-24	06-Nov-24	<p>Understanding existing and potential future travel patterns is a crucial step in developing a LCWIP network to ensure it reflects local demand. The analysis of travel patterns has been conducted through a combined approach, utilising three key datasets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Propensity to Cycle Tool (PCT): As recommended within the LCWIP guidance.• Strava Analysis: As suggested as a data source within Figure 6 of the LCWIP guidance.• Everyday Trip Analysis: Assessing relationships between 'origins' and 'destinations' within Herefordshire. <p>Regarding Strava Data, this data was used to provide additional information on trips 'on foot' (including walking, running, hiking, etc.) and trips 'on bike.' It was extracted from the Strava Metro website, gathered from Strava users recording walking, running, or cycling trips on their Strava app. The information, available free of charge to local authorities, aids in understanding mobility patterns, especially for leisure trips. Strava data is available in batches of three consecutive months and was obtained for June – August 2022, representing the three months with the highest activity levels from the previous year.</p> <p>However, it is crucial to recognise the limitations of this dataset. Strava data should not be viewed as comprehensive as a single source of data as it only represents Strava users who choose to record their activities. For example, short trips to the shops may not be recorded. Whilst there are apparent limitations to this data and this is recognised, Strava data is still a powerful tool to help highlight severance created by showing routes and areas that cyclists avoid, as well as helping to build a wider picture of routes, particularly leisure routes, which are currently cycled. This supplements the other data, as PCT data does not take into account leisure trips. Everyday Trip Analysis helps to identify these 'short trips to the shops' that might not be picked up by the Strava data.</p> <p>It is important to note that the LCWIP has currently utilised an extensive range of data, taking inspiration from figure 6 within the LCWIP Guidance and expanding data sources above and beyond this list.</p>

2	<p>That public consultation be done, including but not limited to city, town and parish councils, walking and cycling groups, key local institutions and employers, in line with DfT LCWIP engagement guidance, and</p> <p>a. that significant funds be allocated to do this (noting that there is potentially £43k already available for this exercise);</p> <p>b. that this is done ASAP and certainly commenced before the end of this calendar year; that such consultation is done on a standalone basis without waiting for LTP and Local plan consultation;</p> <p>c. that such consultation acknowledges that in many cases there may be synergies between LCWIP and wider highway maintenance and improvements.</p>	17- Oct- 24	23- Jan- 24	06- Nov- 24	<p>We are currently undertaking a period of engagement with key stakeholder groups which began in September 2024 and will be completed by mid-November 2024 (this was initially scheduled for June-August 2024) however, due to the election period this had to be delayed). To date we have spoken with the following stakeholder groups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Active travel groups - Business organisations - Neighbouring authorities - Rail organisations - Parish, town and city councils - Disability and Accessibility groups - Emergency services - Internal council teams (including highways, public rights of way, planning, major projects team, road safety, development control, economic development, communications, equalities, and public health) - The Garrison, Credenhill <p>We are due to engage with the following by mid November 2024:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Young people - Cabinet members <p>The engagement with key stakeholders has been invaluable in identifying alignments and known issues. These stakeholders, serving as active travel champions, have also provided valuable insights through their strong connections with the public.</p> <p>However, this engagement is not a substitute for the public consultation, and we are planning a wider public consultation at the end of this year.</p>
3	<p>That consultation is done on the LCWIP elements of the Hereford City Masterplan ASAP.</p>	17- Oct- 24	23- Jan- 24	06- Nov- 24	<p>The Hereford City LCWIP, developed as part of the draft Hereford City Masterplan has been incorporated into the countywide plan, and updated in line with LCWIP guidance, therefore, these will form part of the LCWIP public consultation which will take place at the end of this year, into early next.</p>

4	That Herefordshire Council coordinates with neighbouring local authorities sooner rather than later both in terms of information gathering and consultation on potential routes.	17- Oct- 24	23- Jan- 24	06- Nov- 24	We met with representatives from all our neighbouring authorities on 13th September 2024, including Gloucestershire, Monmouthshire, Worcestershire, Powys and Shropshire councils. Feedback was very positive, and the process followed for our countywide LCWIP aligned with our neighbouring authorities. No issues or concerns were raised by any officers and they are keen to be contacted again when we undertake public consultation on the draft plan.
5	That potential long distance routes including greenways are included in the LCWIP consultation and development process.	17- Oct- 24	23- Jan- 24	06- Nov- 24	<p>During the engagement process, the concept of 'greenways' was frequently raised by key stakeholders and parish councillors. After reflecting on the feedback and capturing their views, greenways have been added to our initial long list of schemes, which will be prioritised accordingly.</p> <p>Additionally, the engagement process provided information on a number of 'slow ways'—a network of routes that make use of public rights of way and low-traffic roads. These slow ways have also been included in our proposed network and added to our list of projects.</p> <p>It is important to note that Greenway schemes have undergone a comprehensive feasibility study, which identified several challenges to progressing these projects. The most significant issue highlighted in each Greenway feasibility report is the complexity of land ownership concerns.</p>

6	That the cycle network plan and walking network plan be published to scrutiny ASAP.	17-Oct-24	23-Jan-24	06-Nov-24	<p>The cycle network and walking plan for Hereford City, along with the broader county-wide network plan, is currently undergoing a period of refinement and reflection following recent engagement, including an upcoming session with young people in November. After this phase, we will review the network plans and test them with internal officers across the county to ensure alignment, and explore opportunities for collaboration. We will then establish a phased approach for delivering the prioritised list of projects, taking into account factors such as time, complexity, and budget, which will be integrated into the final LCWIP report which we will provide to scrutiny for review.</p> <p>In response to feedback from the engagement process, we are planning to produce two distinct documents for the LCWIP: one technical document aligned with DfT guidelines for funding applications, which is particularly pertinent at this stage given anticipated news of funding from central government, and a separate, public-facing document that will explain the process and outline projects across the county.</p> <p>It is worth noting that the LCWIP once completed, will only be a first iteration, as the plan will be reviewed regularly and adjusted/updated according to latest data and intelligence. There will therefore be further opportunities to provide input into the plan in future.</p>
7	That a breakdown of the spending with PJA be provided.	17-Oct-24	23-Jan-24	06-Nov-24	The below table provides a summary of LCWIP activities undertaken to date, or due to be undertaken by PJA including fees, broken down by the various stages outlined in the LCWIP guidance.
New Herefordshire Local Transport Plan					
Rec. No.	Recommendation	Date Sent	Due Date	Recd Date	Response
1	Publish in full all background materials, including but not limited to the carbon modelling exercise.		23-Jan-24	28-Mar-24	Appendices B and C are included in this report that set out the key areas of context and a summary of the output from the carbon emissions work referred to in the report. Further, more detailed information on which these appendices are based, can be made available to the committee.

2	Address the inconsistency in the way that carbon emissions are presented (by mixing territorial and consumption); publish both consumption and territorial emissions for both overall emissions and journey length (if possible); and address the issue that this report underplays the importance of modal shift for short journeys.		23-Jan-24	28-Mar-24	It is acknowledged that the Midlands Connect model, used by local authorities across the region for carbon modelling, is not currently able to calculate the proportion of emissions within Herefordshire of any trip that may start or end outside the county. This means that active travel may have a greater role to play in reducing transport carbon emissions in the county, but that measures that seek to target medium and longer distance trips will still have the greatest overall impact. This is recognised in the report at para 14. Midlands Connect is currently updating its baseline emissions model to provide additional functionality and is exploring opportunities to geographically bound emissions by trip length and place type within individual local transport authority areas. If this change is agreed and implemented, further analysis will be possible as the LTP progresses.
3	Engage immediately and closely with the Transport subgroup of the HCNPB as well as other key stakeholders, including National Grid.		23-Jan-24	28-Mar-24	It is considered that engagement with stakeholders such as the HCNPB and national Grid, as part of the proposed upcoming engagement exercise alongside the Local Plan, will provide appropriate and timely input.
4	Engage ASAP with a wide range of stakeholders to collect data as well as consult on emerging priorities.		23-Jan-24	28-Mar-24	It is considered that engagement, as part of the proposed upcoming engagement exercise alongside the Local Plan, will provide appropriate and timely input.
5	Consider the following suggested objectives: a. Improved road safety b. Improved residents health c. Improved range of transport choices including active travel and public transport, demand-responsive transport and reliable public EV charging infrastructure d. Improved access to services e. Carbon reduction (embodied and operational) f. Nature protection		23-Jan-24	28-Mar-24	a. Improved road safety - this is covered in the “Improving transport safety and security” objective. b. Improved residents’ health – this is covered in the “Enabling healthy behaviours and improving wellbeing” objective. c. Improved range of transport choices including active travel and public transport, demand-responsive transport and reliable public EV charging infrastructure – this is considered to be included in the “Enabling healthy behaviours and improving wellbeing” and “Tackling climate change” objectives as part of those objectives’

	g. Supporting a sustainably thriving and prosperous economy.				<p>focus on walking and cycling becoming the natural choice for short trips and on providing viable low carbon options for most journeys.</p> <p>d. Improved access to services – this is covered in the “Supporting a thriving and prosperous economy” objective.</p> <p>e. Carbon reduction (embodied and operational) – this is covered by the “Tackling climate change” objective and the inclusion of both embodied and operational carbon is agreed.</p> <p>f. Nature protection – this is covered by the “Protecting and enhancing the natural and built environment” objective.</p> <p>g. Supporting a sustainably thriving and prosperous economy. – this is covered by the “Supporting a thriving and prosperous economy” objective.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

27 February 2024					
The policy, prioritisation and delivery of section 106 funding					
Rec. No.	Recommendation	Date Sent	Due Date	Recd Date	Response
1	Review the future schedule of rates for Section 106 as contained in the Supplementary Planning Document on Planning Obligations dated 1st April 2008 to ensure they reflect current costs, and are updated annually.	17-Oct-24	17-Dec-24	28-Feb-25	To consider how the future schedule of rates for Section 106 as contained in the Supplementary Planning Document on Planning Obligations dated 1st April 2008 can be reviewed to ensure they reflect current costs and are updated annually.
2	Introduce interim arrangements for Section 106 funding to ensure changes to schedules of rates can be updated rapidly, while a decision on adopting Infrastructure Levy is reviewed.	17-Oct-24	17-Dec-24	28-Feb-25	To consider how the interim arrangements for Section 106 funding to ensure changes to schedules of rates can be updated rapidly, while a decision on adopting Infrastructure Levy can be reviewed.
3	Improve parish council, councillor and local resident engagement in updating community wish lists.	17-Oct-24	17-Dec-24	28-Feb-25	The council will review the current process of identifying community wish lists and will introduce changes where improvements can be made.
4	Invite parishes who do not currently have a neighbourhood development plan to submit a parish infrastructure development plan.	17-Oct-24	17-Dec-24	28-Feb-25	The council will invite parishes who do not currently have a neighbourhood development plan to submit a parish infrastructure development plan.
5	Publish the community wish list in a more accessible format.	17-Oct-24	17-Dec-24	28-Feb-25	The council will publish the section 106 wish list in a more accessible format.
6	Consider using interest on banked section 106 contributions to help expedite delivery of the Section 106 project backlog.	17-Oct-24	17-Dec-24	28-Feb-25	The council will consider using interest on banked section 106 contributions to help expedite delivery of the Section 106 project backlog.

7	Ensure that the costs of Section 106 delivery are integrated into the S106 contributions collected to ensure that there is ongoing adequate capacity to enable prompt delivery of projects, both in terms of project management capacity and in terms of delivery capacity within the relevant service areas.	17-Oct-24	17-Dec-24	28-Feb-25	The council will review how the costs of Section 106 delivery are integrated into the S106 contributions collected to ensure that there is ongoing adequate capacity to enable prompt delivery of projects, both in terms of project management capacity and in terms of delivery capacity within the relevant service areas.
8	Clarify how delivery of Section 106 projects will be managed once the Programme Management Office (PMO) backlog project comes to an end.	17-Oct-24	17-Dec-24	28-Feb-25	The council will review how the costs of Section 106 delivery are integrated into the S106 contributions collected to ensure that there is ongoing adequate capacity to enable prompt delivery of projects, both in terms of project management capacity and in terms of delivery capacity within the relevant service areas.
9	Improve presentation of information on Section 106 funding received and spent, including greater graphical representation of funding, to enable greater public understanding of the process.	17-Oct-24	17-Dec-24	28-Feb-25	The council will review how it can improve presentation of information on Section 106 funding received and spent, including greater graphical representation of funding, to enable greater public understanding of the process.
10	Report back to the committee on the results of the Section 106 benchmarking exercise within three months.	17-Oct-24	17-Dec-24	28-Feb-25	The local planning authority will report back to the committee on the results of the section 106 benchmarking exercise.

10 July 2024

Affordable and social housing in Herefordshire

Rec. No.	Recommendation	Date Sent	Due Date	Recd Date	Response
1	To prepare a report for the committee by the end of September, providing more detail on the issues raised in the meeting, including options to increase the delivery of social and affordable housing.		15-Oct-24	15-Oct-24	This report was presented to the committee on 15 October 24

Public Realm Future Operating Model working group terms of reference

Rec. No.	Recommendation	Date Sent	Due Date	Recd Date	Response
1	The committee form a working group according to the terms of reference attached to the report as appendix 1.		15-Oct-24	15-Oct-24	This working group has taken place and reported to the committee on 15 October 24

13-Nov-24

Enterprise Zones					
Rec. No.	Recommendation	Date Sent	Due Date	Recd Date	Response
1	Create a management company with a volunteer board for Ross Enterprise Park by 31 March 2025, in order to develop the park's business plan with officer support.	13-Nov-24	13-Jan-25		
2	Ensure adequate revenue funding is allocated in 2025-26 to lead development and delivery of Ross Enterprise Park, including management company support.	13-Nov-24	13-Jan-25		
3	Consider the business case for retaining some or all of the freehold land as an asset for Herefordshire.	13-Nov-24	13-Jan-25		
4	Retain at least one unit as a business incubation centre for south Herefordshire.	13-Nov-24	13-Jan-25		
5	To collect data to measure the economic and social impact of Hereford Enterprise Zone, to compare and contrast with business parks in neighbouring counties.	13-Nov-24	13-Jan-25		
Local Authority Housing Delivery Models					
Rec. No.	Recommendation	Date Sent	Due Date	Recd Date	Response
1	Progress at pace a pilot project to develop smaller sites owned by Herefordshire Council, subject to planning conditions and business plan.	13-Nov-24	13-Jan-25		
2	Identify and begin the process of setting up a development company, using the lessons learned from other local authorities identified in the report.	13-Nov-24	13-Jan-25		
3	Consider prioritising phosphate credits for developments with a higher percentage of social or affordable housing, such as those in the pilot project.	13-Nov-24	13-Jan-25		
4	Explore Local Government Pension Scheme options for funding the delivery of affordable housing.	13-Nov-24	13-Jan-25		
5	Report these recommendations to the council's housing development working group.	13-Nov-24	13-Jan-25		
15 January 2025					
Local Transport Plan					

Rec. No.	Recommendation	Date Sent	Due Date	Recd Date	Response
1	As per a previous recommendation from Connected Communities Scrutiny Committee, environmental protection and enhancement needs to be better reflected throughout the high-level Local Transport Plan objectives and throughout its priorities for the transport network. This should reflect and align with our climate and ecological emergency declaration.	28-Jan-25	27-Mar-25		
2	The Local Transport Plan team to work with other rural local transport plan teams in England, as well as our neighbouring authorities, to build on best practice and an alliance of rural local transport plans to strengthen the case for rural transport to the government.	28-Jan-25	27-Mar-25		
3	To provide the committee with a consultation strategy for the local transport plan, to include a timeline for the consultation and a list of consultees.	28-Jan-25	27-Mar-25		
4	To ensure that any active travel projects are 'shovel-ready' for any funding that becomes available.	28-Jan-25	27-Mar-25		
Market Towns Investment Plans					
1	Herefordshire Council to: Recognise that the market town investment plans are living documents with projects that are regularly reviewed and updated.	28-Jan-25	27-Mar-25		
2	Herefordshire Council to: Work with town councils to review annually the list of projects in each of the market towns' investment plans.	28-Jan-25	27-Mar-25		
3	Herefordshire Council to: Ensure regular communications between the council and Herefordshire's market towns.	28-Jan-25	27-Mar-25		
4	Herefordshire Council to: Encourage town councils to engage with their rural hinterlands on their ambitions, thus strengthening the understanding and loyalty to their local service centre.	28-Jan-25	27-Mar-25		