

**Minutes of the meeting of Cabinet held at The Council Chamber, Shire Hall, St Peters Square, Hereford on Thursday 25 July 2019 at 6.30 pm**

**Present:** Councillor David Hitchiner, Leader of the Council (Chairman)  
Councillor Felicity Norman, Deputy Leader of the Council (Vice-Chairman)  
  
Councillors Pauline Crockett, Gemma Davies, John Harrington, Liz Harvey and Trish Marsh

Cabinet support members in attendance Councillors John Hardwick and Peter Jinman

Group leaders in attendance Councillors Terry James and Bob Matthews

Scrutiny chairpersons in attendance Councillors Jonathan Lester

Other councillors in attendance: Councillors Tracy Bowes, Elizabeth Foxtan, Graham Jones, Jeremy Milln, David Summers, Mike Jones, Tim Price and Nigel Shaw

Officers in attendance: Alistair Neill, Richard Ball, Chris Baird, Claire Ward, Andrew Lovegrove and Karen Wright

**73. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies were received from Councillor Ange Tyler.

**74. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

None.

**75. MINUTES**

One point of accuracy had been notified to the monitoring officer. Councillor Peter Jinman had not in fact been present at the meeting of 25 June 2019 and it was agreed that this be corrected in the record.

**Resolved:** That with the agreed correction the minutes of the meeting held on 25 June 2019 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

**76. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC (Pages 7 - 14)**

Questions received and responses given are attached as appendix 1 to the minutes.

**77. QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLORS (Pages 15 - 16)**

Questions received and responses given are attached as appendix 2 to the minutes.

The leader of the council gave an update on the planned decision on road infrastructure projects. The cabinet member infrastructure and transport had been working closely with officers with the previously advertised deadline in mind. However, the work involved had been more extensive than originally thought, so publication of the decision would be delayed most likely into the week beginning 29 July. The leader of the council and cabinet member were of the view that they should ensure that this very important

decision was right and that this was more important than complying with a self-imposed deadline, which in hindsight was perhaps a little ambitious.

## **78. HEREFORDSHIRE SUICIDE PREVENTION STRATEGY**

The cabinet member health and adult wellbeing introduced the report, supported by the head of strategic housing and wellbeing commissioning and the Senior Commissioning Officer.

Key points of the strategy were noted as follows:

- The strategy had been produced and would be delivered with a number of partner agencies with all being responsible for monitoring progress;
- The strategy covered all age groups and was prompted by the national strategy but with a focus on Herefordshire's population profile and priorities;
- There were multiple risk factors identified in suicides with three key groups being middle aged and younger men, users of mental health services and individuals with a history of self-harm;
- Communities had an important role in the delivery of the strategy in Herefordshire and there were a number of proposals related to this;
- Social media could have a negative impact and posed a risk in some instances but there was increasing evidence that it could also be protective and have beneficial aspects, use of a range of media would be important in delivering the strategy.

In discussion of the item it was stated that:

- Talk Communities was a new initiative of the council guiding its approach to engagement with communities, Talk Community hubs would be led and organised by local volunteers and community groups with support from the council, it was hoped that 50 hubs would be in place by 2021;
- The communications team would be involved in development the action plan and identifying the best media to use to target particular population groups;
- There was not a large amount of direct data or analysis of links between criminal exploitation and suicide, however the risks arising from child sexual exploitation were a known factor and work was already taking place with partners on this;
- Recent research had not particularly identified debt as a risk factor although it was recognised that financial worries generally were a source of anxiety and could lead to mental health difficulties;
- There was a formal process for monitoring deaths from substance misuse and these were recorded separately from suicides, there were some commonalities including the involvement of the coroner in both cases;
- Discussions were underway with the coroner's office and public health to improve access to usable data on suicides as there was often a time lapse between the event and the data being available, it was hoped to establish a regular form of audit as this was a proven way to improve understanding;
- The WISH (Wellbeing Information and Signposting for Herefordshire) service was looking to develop key words search to direct people to relevant sources of information;
- The farming and wider agricultural community were a high risk group in Herefordshire, a key focus would be to establish how to reach those more vulnerable communities and the council would be looking to tap into existing networks and the communities themselves;
- It was seen as important to build resilience in individuals, families and communities;
- The advice for anyone concerned about someone who may be a suicide risk was to talk about it openly, as this was known to have a protective element, and to seek help from someone with appropriate training e.g. a mental health first aider, the Samaritans;

- There were also specialist networks who could provide support, such as the Farming Community Network, Borderlands and the Royal Agricultural Benevolent Institution (RABI).

Group leaders were invited to present the views of their group. It was noted that:

- The strategy was welcomed but it was important that it was followed through with action;
- There was a need to be proactive and more information was needed for members of the community who might be concerned about family members or friends;
- The local patterns broadly reflected national figures although the numbers in the construction industry were higher in Herefordshire than nationally and the reasons for this were not yet fully understood.

**It was agreed that:**

- (a) The Herefordshire suicide prevention strategy 2019-2023 at appendix 1 be approved.**

## **79. QUARTER 1 2019/20 CORPORATE BUDGET AND PERFORMANCE REPORT**

The cabinet member, finance and corporate services introduced the report. Key points highlighted were:

- Projected budget position at year end was a £16k under spend, this was an improvement from the same point in the previous financial year;
- Projected overspend in the children and families directorate reflected an increase in LAC costs but this was balanced out by a projected underspend in treasury management costs due to reduced and delayed borrowing for capital expenditure;
- There were no corporate risks that remained red after mitigation had been applied;
- Savings in workforce design in economy and place directorate of £270k still remained to be delivered;
- The cabinet member would be working with officers to improve the relevance and coverage of metrics as part of the next corporate plan;
- The persistent level of staff absence in the adults and communities directorate was under active investigation by managers.

In discussion of the report, it was noted that:

- Expenditure on fastershire was less than planned due to phasing of works to get best value for money, all funds were expected to be spent but might not be fully committed in the current financial year;
- Reasons for the level of staff absence in adults and communities directorate were being explored but possible factors included pressures of workload, extensive manual handling in some roles and a desire not to pass on illness to vulnerable individuals they were supporting.

Group leaders were invited to give the views of their group. It was noted that it was early in the new administration to make any real judgements but the current performance was satisfactory from a budgetary point of view. Concerns were expressed about the delay in progressing the Waverley House and Hillside projects and whether they still represented value for money. The cabinet member health and adult wellbeing explained that the two projects were on pause and would be reported on in the very near future.

In relation to the progress of the fastershire project, it was noted that active discussions had taken place with Gloucestershire on delivering the last 14% of the rollout and this would be reported on in the next quarter.

**It was agreed that:**

**(a) Performance and financial outturn for quarter 1 2019/20, as set out in appendices A - G, were reviewed and the Cabinet did not identify any additional actions to be considered to achieve future improvement.**

**80. BUDGET PLANNING AND CORPORATE PLAN CONSULTATION**

The cabinet member finance and corporate services introduced the report, supported by the head of corporate performance. It was highlighted that:

- There was intended to be a more actively consultative approach to setting the 2020/21 budget;
- It was proposed that engagement with stakeholders be frontloaded in the timetable and would take a variety of forms across a range of localities;
- Existing forums, groups and meetings would be utilised;
- Formal consultation would take place later than in previous years and would be based on a draft budget and corporate priorities informed by the stakeholder engagement;
- The new corporate plan would run for a four year period, the new budget and medium term financial strategy would align to the priorities in the new plan.

In discussion of the report, it was noted that:

- The settlement from central government was expected to be a single year settlement and the announcement was likely to be very late December, officers were working hard to make robust assumptions about what the settlement would contain;
- Revenue funds would remain tight and it would be necessary to establish the absolute key priorities;
- The council would look at opportunities to make savings in a sustainable manner and at options to increase income streams from chargeable services and other sources;
- It was important to consult with trade unions as part of the process;
- Council staff would also be encouraged to identify new and more efficient ways of doing things;
- Ward members would be encouraged to help identify hard to reach areas and methods to engage these communities.

The scrutiny committees would be engaged with as part of the consultation process. The chairman of the general scrutiny committee noted that the committee hoped to have an early meeting to discuss the budget and then a further meeting in January 2020. The cabinet member finance and corporate services welcomed this approach and noted that a draft budget should be ready in November for early consideration.

Group leaders were invited to present the views of their group. In response to comments, it was noted that:

- Pressures were anticipated in the children and families directorate due to the uncertainties that were inherent in child protection work and in the rising costs of adult social care due to the aging population;
- Parish councils would be an important stakeholder in the consultation and a parish summit about the budget would take place in the early autumn;
- Individual meetings with the city council and market town councils would also take place in recognition that these bodies represented two thirds of county residents.

**It was agreed that:**

- (a) the proposed timetable for the development and adoption of the Medium Term Financial Strategy and the 2020/21 budget be approved;**
- (b) the approach for consulting on budget proposals for 2020/21 as set out in paragraphs 12 to 17 be approved; and**
- (c) the timescales and proposals for engagement on the council's priorities for a new corporate plan be approved.**

The meeting ended at 7.44 pm

**Chairman**



**PUBLIC QUESTIONS TO CABINET – 25 July 2019****Question 1****Mr S Booth, Hereford****To: cabinet member, housing, regulatory services and community safety**

In reply to my question at last week's Council meeting, I was referred to the Housing Strategy 2016-2020 and its scheduled renewal in the coming year. This document, unfortunately, is next to useless, as it lists the Council's 'responsibilities' for which they have no 'authority' to carry out their plan - having no housing stock as leverage. This is impacting on Homeless Prevention, who face a diminishing and very costly 'temporary housing' problem.

In view of the promotional funding programmes which are available, referred to in my previous question, should the Council, as a matter of urgency, review its position and have an imaginative strategy in place, with all its partners, to build and manage its own housing stock - which would ignite local interest to provide homes of the right type and in the right place?

**Response**

The council's Interim Housing Strategy 2016-2020 seeks to address a wide range of issues beyond the problem of Homeless Prevention, which is a nationwide challenge. The council has a separate Homelessness Prevention Strategy that sets out detailed actions to prevent and address homelessness in the county working jointly with a range of statutory and voluntary sector partners. This strategy is also due for review in the coming year.

As I mentioned in my response to your earlier question to Council, we will indeed ensure that the review of both the Housing and Homelessness Prevention strategies will look at how best to make use of the opportunities that exist to increase the delivery of new affordable homes in Herefordshire and the role of the council in doing so. We will look at all options to encourage the building of quality homes that meet the needs of our communities, and welcome any suggestions from the community about ways in which we can achieve this.

**Question 2****Mrs E Morawiecka, Breinton****To: cabinet member, finance and corporate services**

The Internal Auditors special investigation on the Blueschool House overspend, recommended that detailed budgets for large capital projects were to be prepared and regularly reviewed, and actual spend tracked and monitored closely to these budgets. Despite millions of pounds of taxpayers money spent, at the last Audit & Governance meeting it was reported that no major road projects were on the new capital monitoring system, nearly a year after these recommendations were made. In view of the large sums of public money that have been spent on such projects, would the Cabinet member responsible, confirm that instead of using out of date, outline budgets that the capital transport schemes are now being controlled and monitored in accordance with the internal audit recommendations?

**Response**

Yes. I can confirm that following a phased approach to moving projects from the corporate programme into a new project management system that began in October 2018, the major infrastructure projects of the council are now all established within the new system. This

provides a level of detail for the monitoring of planned and actual expenditure against budgets agreed by Cabinet that is sufficient to address the recommendations from the Blueschool House Refurbishment Special Investigation. Financial information within the new system means that Project Managers can ensure that Project Sponsors are fully aware of the financial requirements of projects and can take ownership of the project budget.

I should clarify that prior to the introduction of the new project management system, it was not the case that capital schemes were not being controlled and monitored or that out of date outline budgets were being used; rather there were inconsistent approaches to doing so.

It is part of the natural process of project development that projected costs will evolve during the feasibility and planning stages. A strong project management approach, such as that now being used by the council, ensures that if expected costs rise beyond the approved budget, a decision will be taken on whether the project should be terminated or whether additional money is allocated to the project. Regular capital programme budget reporting is included as part of the council's quarterly performance report.

### **Supplementary question**

At the November 2018 public inquiry into the South Wye Transport Package the only budget presented by Herefordshire Council was the outline budget for the scheme dated 2014, which showed that the council anticipated £5m of funding coming from property developers and the cost of professional fees to be expended of just £781k. By March 2019, just five months later, the actual cost of professional fees were reported to be well over £4m. If the five year old budget for this transport project has now been updated, in accordance with the Blueschool House recommendations from the internal auditor, would the cabinet member please advise how much funding Herefordshire Council now expect to recover from developers such as Bloor Homes who stand to benefit from new road infrastructure being built ahead of any planning application? And if the contribution from developers is less than £5m does the road scheme still offer good value for money to the local tax payer when costs so far have well exceeded their original budget?

### **Response**

I will ask that you receive a written response.

### **Question 3**

**Ms J Tonge, Hereford**

**To: leader of the council**

The corporate delivery plan makes no mention of the Climate Emergency that has been agreed by the council this spring.

Will the Climate Emergency declared by Herefordshire Council just be fine words, or will it form the basis for future decisions and actions and become part of the corporate delivery plan?

### **Response**

Thank you for raising this important issue.

I can confirm that the declaration made by Council in March will not just be fine words. A declaration of itself, while an important statement, achieves little – it is our actions that will count. The council has already taken action to reduce its carbon footprint and improve flood management. However, this administration wishes to give a stronger focus to addressing the



climate emergency. Following the resolution passed by Council in March, we have already provided a briefing to all councillors on carbon management, in preparation for a members' full day event in the autumn. The council also has a web page titled 'Climate' with more information about achievements to date and plans for further action, which will be kept updated as our plans develop.

The resolution passed by Council in March, after the current year's delivery plan was approved, made a number of specific recommendations and Cabinet will be considering how to take those forward at its meeting in September. As you will see from the Cabinet agenda today, we are beginning the process of reviewing the council's Corporate Plan, and will soon be seeking views on our future priorities, and how best to meet them. I very much hope that residents, businesses, and partners will help us to shape this vital plan, so that we can all play our part in responding to the climate emergency.

#### **Question 4**

**Mr R Palgrave, How Caple**

**To: cabinet member, commissioning, procurement and assets**

The documents submitted as part of the now approved planning application for the student accommodation in Station Approach Hereford (P183841/CD4 ) included an Energy Strategy written by consultants Ridge for Cityheart Partnerships. The strategy sets out possible options for space and water heating and for renewable energy in the building. To support the aspiration that Herefordshire should be zero carbon by 2030, will the Cabinet member consider making it a requirement that this building is designed and constructed to the highest BREEAM standard, i.e. "Outstanding", that no fossil fuels (e.g. natural gas) will be used for space and water heating, and that on-site electricity consumption will be supplied by solar PV panels on the building to the greatest extent possible?

#### **Response**

Thank you for raising this incredibly important issue.

The Energy Strategy Report submitted as part of the planning application for the student accommodation in Station Approach is, in part, a development specific assessment of the available renewable and sustainable technologies. The report also provided information on how we could achieve BREEAM Good/very good, which is the clients brief and normal for this type of accommodation.

A requirement for BREEAM "Outstanding" would be extremely challenging at this location due to financial and site constraints including (but not limited to):

- the very specific planning requirements for the pitched roofscape, (resulting in an orientation and a significant reduction in roof area on which to locate PV panels efficiently);
- lack of space for air source heat pumps on the site or roof to be economically viable; and
- the presence of a Welsh Water major infrastructure foul water sewer pipe located under the entire length of the site parallel to the Link Road, making ground source heat pumps prohibitive.

The Energy Strategy Report considers and assesses the suitability of all available technologies for the building and the specifics of the development before concluding which are the most appropriate ones to proceed with. These detailed findings are provided in the report and have been accepted by the Planning and Regulatory Committee in their assessment of the planning application.

There are significant financial implications associated with achieving “Outstanding”, which only 1% of buildings achieve. In addition to the need to demonstrate value for money the resulting accommodation must be affordable for the students so a balance has to be struck so that with our partners we are able to deliver a viable development which is as energy efficient as possible on that site.

We are aiming to achieve BREEAM Very Good (rather than Good), which is itself a high standard. During the detailed design stage we are continuing to test whether we can achieve some benefit from photo-voltaics in order to reduce reliance on the grid.

You can be assured that any future projects such as this will have, at the forefront of any decision, the climate emergency resolution that was passed in March.

### **Question 5**

**Mr E Morfett, Hereford**

**To: cabinet member, infrastructure and transport**

Under the last administration employees of Balfour Beatty and WSP have spoken at various council meetings on the merits of designing large road schemes. They recommended expensive research and design work costing the local taxpayer millions of pounds, without ever declaring their conflict of interests in these matters.

Contracts for this work were awarded to Balfour Beatty and WSP without independent reviews and without competitive tenders.

Will this approach be continued under the new administration or can the public expect independent, non-prejudicial advice to be given to councillors on resolving transport issues in Hereford and across the wider county?

### **Response**

Professional services associated with the design and development of major projects are commissioned and procured through the council’s public realm contract and the council does not believe there is a conflict of interest as you suggest. BBLP provides professional design resource, within the terms and framework of our existing contract, to deliver these projects and provide a fee proposal for each design commission, which is scrutinised and challenged as part of the annual plan commissioning process. Annual fee proposals are reviewed and monitored prior to work commencing and are subjected to robust change control mechanisms.

This public realm contract was awarded to BBLP following a competitive OJEU procurement process in 2012/2013 and design professional services are within the scope of this contract. Going forward the new administration may consider all procurement options, so that value for money is ensured and we continue to get the best possible advice.

### **Supplementary question**

In my opinion the 2012-2013 public realm contract with Balfour Beatty has not served in the best interests of Herefordshire residents despite conforming to the Official Journal of European Union procurement process because it allowed the principle consultants to promote the most expensive, destructive and the most profitable for its shareholders while ignoring cheaper, more efficient solutions for the county. What can the newly elected council do to reduce the inherent bias consistently shown by its principle consultant Balfour Beatty towards expanding road

capacity that will increase congestion before investing in sustainable clean public transport and safe modern walking and cycling networks?

**Response**

As you know, within the realm of the Balfour Beatty public realm contract, we have a built in mechanism for using them for consultancy work but we are also able to use other people and it may be that we will do that in the future if it presents better value for money. Naturally, as a cabinet member, I cannot necessarily agree with you that there is a bias but the new administration will be making sure that progressive procurement takes place and we are in a period of reviewing all aspects of the council functioning.

**Question 6**

**Dr N Geeson, Hereford**

**To: cabinet member, finance and corporate services**

The Corporate Plan Performance Metrics are to "Enable residents to live safe, healthy and independent lives; to Keep children and young people safe and give them a great start in life; to Support the growth of our economy; and to Secure better services, quality of life and value for money". Opportunities should be fully inclusive for young and old, so why is there focus on condition of roads but no mention of the vital roles of good public transport networks, cycling and walking; especially since these will improve fitness and health, and by reducing use of individual vehicles, help to address the Climate Emergency? Remember that in Hereford cycling can actually reduce journey times too!

**Response**

The corporate performance metrics associated with our four corporate plan priorities do not try to capture the entirety of our performance data.

There is a focus on the condition of roads because our residents have consistently told us that the condition of our roads as a key area for improvement. Additionally, highway condition is seen as a barrier to delivering good public transport networks, cycling and walking.

We hold extensive metrics for public transport patronage, cycling and walking, in addition to extensive data about the condition and usage and safety of our roads, bridges, footways and cycleways across Herefordshire, all of which informs how we plan and implement actions to deliver the corporate plan priorities.

**Question 7**

**Mrs J Richards, Hereford**

**To: cabinet member, infrastructure and transport**

Many of the city, town and country roads are precarious, posing a risk to all road users. Will this new administration be seeking to continue the policy of the previous Council to divert millions of pounds from the Local Transport Plan maintenance budget and special pothole grants for paying professional advisors millions of pounds to develop new roads schemes instead?

**Response**

Between the implementation of a new asset management strategy in 2013 and 2018, and with additional investment of £20m during 2014 to 2016 the overall condition of our A, B and C roads has improved. While there is still work to do I cannot agree that many of the city, town and country roads are precarious and pose a risk to all road users.

It is not the council's policy to regularly divert funding from the maintenance of our road network; however the council reserves its right to invest funding that it receives through the Local Transport Plan integrated transport block allocation and maintenance block allocations, (and all other such funds, pothole fund and the like) in accordance with the conditions of the grant award, and in a way that will best secure the objectives that the council holds for Herefordshire.

The funding of these major transport projects has come from both external funding sources and council capital budgets which includes the annual LTP grant. Funding sources are set out in each project decision report during the delivery of these transport projects; if there are implications for service delivery associated with the use of LTP budgets this will also be set out.

As you may be aware I am due to take a decision this month in relation to the Hereford Transport and South Wye Transport package projects and the options as to whether to continue with progression of the schemes, pause and review the schemes or cancel them. The funding of any work following this decision will be set out clearly in any further decision reports.

### **Supplementary question**

If funding for potholes in the Local Transport Plan could be diverted to new road schemes, can the same principle be applied and such funding be used instead to deliver a comprehensive network of safe walking/cycling schemes, good public transport and 20 mph in urban areas and around schools – all of which are shown to reduce the high level of short car journeys that contribute to congestion and pollution in urban areas?

### **Response**

Cabinet member, infrastructure and transport: I think the short answer is yes. I will hand over to the Acting Director for Economy and Place to give a more accurate answer.

Acting Director: The Local Transport Plan Grant from government is provided on the basis of an allocation to Herefordshire in line with its Local Transport Plan so spending of that grant in accordance with the Local Transport Plan is admissible and it is a matter for the cabinet to determine how that is applied.

### **Question 8**

**Mr T Geeson, Hereford**

**To: cabinet member, infrastructure and transport**

One of the Council's current performance metrics in Agenda Item 7 Appendix 5 is 'to improve average journey times in Hereford in the morning week-day period

In 2017 I asked the then Cabinet member if there has been recent and comprehensive traffic surveys before the opening of the City Link Road and would these repeated once the new road was opened and would the results be published so we could all assess its impact. Were these surveys completed by the previous administration and if so, what do the results show?

### **Response**

A range of surveys have been completed on the network surrounding the City Link Road following its opening at the end of 2017. The results of these surveys are currently being collated and analysed and will be reported in the autumn.

### **Supplementary question**

Could the cabinet member confirm that the results will be made publically available and to ensure that the report will include an analysis of the roads impacts and not just simply summarise the results of the surveys.

### **Response**

Cabinet member, infrastructure and transport: I would assume so but will ask the acting director for clarity.

Acting Director for Economy and Place: There is no reason for the information not to be made public so I would anticipate that being published. The analysis to be carried out will be to look at what the movements and the surveys have concluded and present that information for people to review.

### **Question 9**

**Mrs V Wegg-Prosser, Breinton**

**To: cabinet member, infrastructure and transport**

Noting that Cabinet is responsible for developing and proposing a balanced budget to Council, and that Hereford's flagship endeavour, NMiTE, will welcome its first students this September, could the new Administration please ensure that sufficient resources are allocated to the completion of the Transport Hub at Hereford Railway Station so that the station's 'poor sense of arrival', (noted by Historic England) negatively affecting the aspirations of Hereford as a University City, can be transformed into a welcoming community space for public transport interchange, cycling and walking as soon as possible?

### **Response**

Yes. This council is committed to delivering a high quality transport hub at the railway station along with public realm improvements on Commercial Road and Blueschool Street to transform this part of the city and ensure it becomes a welcoming entrance to the city centre for all visitors including those coming to study here. An allocation of £6m from the overall £40.6m Hereford City Centre Transport Package budget will enable us to deliver this. We are currently developing proposals for consultation later in this financial year.

### **Supplementary question**

It is of course regrettable that the £13m budget for the public realm and active travel measures associated with the Edgar Street Grid was raided to the tune of £7m by the previous administration to complete the funding package for the over-engineered city link road, a road which shamefully is not fit for purpose. So there is only £6m left for the non-road costs of the city centre scheme, instead of £13m. There still seems to be no sense of urgency expressed in the reply to my question. Consultation later in this financial year? That could bring us to March 2020. Does this sort of delay mean that the £6m set aside might be raided again, so that ultimately there is less than £6m available for these vital public realm and active travel measures, rendering them also perhaps not fit for purpose?

### **Response**

I can only apologise for the delay in these projects being progressed as timely as they were supposed to have been done. The new administration is keen to progress with the transport hub and to look at the other active travel measures associated with the city link road and the original funding from the Edgar Street Grid. I certainly don't intend to use any of that money for anything other than what it was intended for and I hope to stick to that.

## **Councillors' questions at Cabinet – 25 July 2019**

### **Question 1**

**Councillor Roger Phillips, Arrow Ward**

**To: cabinet member, infrastructure and transport**

The Lawton Crossroad is a significant accident black spot in north Herefordshire and Cllr Bowen and myself have been working with officers of the council, Balfour Beatty, local parish councils and communities to design a suitable measure to reduce the risk.

Can the Cabinet member give us the reassurance that the creation of this innovative roundabout solution will be undertaken in the present financial year?

### **Response**

Yes, I am pleased to confirm that this scheme is part of the 2019/20 Annual Plan.

