Question from Councillor WLS Bowen

Council constitution

Question 1
Are you aware that a fairly recent amendment to the constitution prevents group leaders from attending and speaking at all committee meetings and would you agree that a reversion to the protocol that all group leaders should be allowed to attend and speak at all committee meetings would be desirable and should be instituted as soon as possible?

Answer from Councillor Brian Wilcox, chairman of the council

The amendment to the constitution, which took effect on 1 January 2010, does not prevent group leaders, or any other members, from attending any public meeting of the council; members in attendance may speak at the discretion of the chairman of the meeting. Such discretion is exercised having regard to a range of factors including the number and complexity of items on the agenda, and numbers of such requests to speak. If they are not minded to exercise their discretion to allow a member in attendance to speak chairmen will often advise that member either to ask a member of the committee to raise the query on their behalf or undertake to raise the issue from the chair in order that matters relevant to the agenda can be aired in a timely way.

I am aware that the audit and governance committee has approved a set of governance design principles to guide the ongoing refresh of the council's constitution. These include the following principles:

- “Members and officers perform effectively in clearly defined functions and roles”; and
- “Maximise member engagement and participation, including the involvement of all members in the development of key policies”

As a member of the working group guiding the refresh of the constitution and reporting to the audit and governance committee, Councillor Bowen will be in an excellent position to put forward the views of his group on this matter before December when any changes to the constitution are due to be brought to Council for consideration.

Question from Councillor B Matthews

Enterprise zone (EZ).

In June this year, the EZ board was advised that due to the substantial costs of making plots development-ready, the Goodwin Trust had decided that at present they would not be making any land available for sale. This was because of the excessive cost of providing highways, utility services and the significant cost involved in raising ground levels on site. The board were advised that the sale of plots was no longer commercially viable.

Can you please inform members what impact the Goodwin Trust decision will have on the future prospects of the EZ, and will it mean still further delays in the provision of the well paid and secure jobs so desperately needed within the county?

Question 2

Answer from Councillor David Harlow, cabinet member economy and corporate services

It is perhaps unfortunate that Councillor Matthews does not appear to have referred to the publically available minutes of the board meeting in June of this year and therefore appears to have gained a partial and unnecessarily pessimistic view of the issue. The board were indeed advised by the Goodwin Trust, a key private sector partner in the enterprise zone, that they
would be temporarily withholding a relatively small area of land (4.5 acres) from sale because of the infrastructure costs associated with such sales; however the board were advised that the trust would look to work with the zone to explore building units to let and the board also determined to explore the potential and opportunities available to meet the viability gap.

I would also refer Cllr Matthews to the answer given to public question number six.

Given the progress made to date on the site (which does not amount to ‘delays’ as stated), the robust delivery plans in place, and continued exploration of every opportunity to support development of and business growth on this crucial site I do not share Councillor Matthews rather pessimistic view.

Question from Councillor B Matthews

Approval of accounts

It was at a full council meeting, not audit and governance, when discrepancies in accounting for major capital projects was first identified. With the public and the media concerned about the inaccuracies in financial reporting at Herefordshire Council and the errors confirmed in this year’s audit report, would the Cabinet Member please explain why adoption of the Year End accounts and the acceptance of the audit report have not been brought to full council for approval.

Question 3

Answer from Councillor Paul Newman OBE, chairman, audit and governance committee

The functions of approval of the council’s statement of accounts and consideration of the external audit findings report, which are not cabinet functions, have been delegated by Council to the audit and governance committee which also has responsibility for seeking assurance that action is taken on risk related issues identified by auditors.

The matter to which Councillor Matthews refers, and which has been reported to and considered by both internal and external auditors, was not a discrepancy in accounting but in reporting. The audit and governance committee has established a process for monitoring the implementation of actions agreed in response to audit recommendations in order that the council may have assurance that improvements in this, and other risk areas, are secured.