

MEETING:	AUDIT AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE
DATE:	20 NOVEMBER 2009
TITLE OF REPORT:	DATA QUALITY – 6 MONTH PROGRESS REPORT
INTERIM DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE	ANNIE FAULDER

**CLASSIFICATION: Open** 

**Wards Affected** 

County-wide

### **Purpose**

To NOTE progress against the 2009 – 2010 data quality action plan.

### **Key Decision**

This is not a Key Decision.

#### Recommendation

#### **THAT the Committee:**

NOTE the progress now being made against the data quality action plan

### **Key Points Summary**

- This is the six month progress report on the data quality action plan as required by the Council's policy which has now been noted by Cabinet.
- The rate of progress is improving and since the last report, four significant tasks have been completed which are central to completing the overall plan.
- These tasks include identifying staff requiring training, local policies and procedures and data quality champions
- The current position is that eight tasks from the 2008 2009 action plan remain red rated (not yet started) and seven amber (underway) while all the tasks added for 2010 are on track for completion.

Further information on the subject of this report is available from Dr Tony Geeson, Head of Policy and Performance on (01432) 261855

ACG6monthDQreport1120090.doc 26Nov08

#### **Alternative Options**

- Cabinet could have approved a different data quality action plan to be implemented at a different pace. A more challenging plan and / or a shorter timescale would require additional resources which have not been forthcoming to date. This option was not recommended given the relatively low level of risk and the competing pressures on officer time.
- A lower level of activity would be potentially damaging to the Council's status with its regulators. For these reasons, this option was not recommended either. The adequacy of existing plan and rate of progress was not challenged by the Audit Commission during the recent Use of Resources assessment and, given the expectation of continuing improvement; it would be unwise to reduce the effort in this area.

#### **Reasons for Recommendations**

Progress is being made against the backlog of tasks from 2008-2009 action plan and the 2009 – 2010 tasks are going as planned.

### Introduction and Background

- The Council has been explicitly pursuing improvements to data quality for the last 18 months through its data quality policy and the associated action plan. The policy requires progress reports every six months to Cabinet and to this Committee. Data quality is now part of the annual Use of Resources assessments which, along with Managing Performance, makes up the Council's two part organisational assessment under CAA. Under the Use of Resources element the Council is required to demonstrate that it produces relevant and reliable data and information to support decision making and manage performance.
- When Cabinet considered this report last month it noted progress against all the tasks including those remaining from last year. All the current tasks are detailed in Appendix 1.
- Amongst other activity, during the past six months, four major tasks have been completed. These are:
  - identifying staff who require training through the appraisal process
  - identifying policies and procedures that support the corporate policy
  - identifying local data quality champions and
  - identifying contracts with a high data content.

Each of these tasks held the key to further work and their completion should allow more rapid progress to be made against the entire plan over the next six months.

# **Key Considerations**

- The current position is that all the 2009-10 tasks remain on track for completion on schedule with the information management training progressing particularly well. Over one hundred and fifty staff have now been trained, ahead of target. Of the 15 tasks remaining from 2008-09, 8 are still to start and 7 are underway.
- 8 Of the 8 tasks judged red (still to start);
  - three relate to contracts work now being picked up by the contract monitoring officers in each individual directorate.
  - a further four relate to communicating the, now identified, policies and procedures to staff

- in a variety of ways. As indicated above, these should now progress more quickly.
- The remaining 'red' task is the lack of a meeting with those data sharing partners who feel unable to sign up to the Council's policy or confirm that they work to similar, or even higher, standards.
- In relation to the last bullet point; at the Committees last meeting, some 13 organisations had still not replied to the Council's repeated enquiries. In line with the Committees wishes, a further reminder letter was sent on behalf of the Chairman and supported by the Chairman of the Strategic Monitoring Committee. This has produced a number of further replies, but 6 organisations have still not responded at all. None of those who have replied so far have objected to the Councils drive to improve data quality, so a meeting may not be required ultimately. However, it is impossible to regard this task as fully completed without the remaining replies.
- Of the amber tasks; one relates to the partners issue, two to contracts work already underway, one to communicating policies and procedures and the remaining three (e.g. logging examples of actions that have improved data quality) will, arguably never be completed. They are ongoing managerial tasks.
- Work to secure improvements in data quality contribute to the corporate plan theme of organisational improvement and greater efficiency and is referred to in the Audit Commission's annual letter. Their recent Use of Resources work did not indicate any particular problems. With the Commission's move to quality assurance, internal audit now undertake the bulk of the detailed examinations of individual performance indicators. While the number of unsatisfactory reports is now very low, data quality weaknesses do occur indicating the need for managers and staff to remain vigilant. The performance champions work, sponsored by the Interim Deputy Chief Executive, is currently assisting in the independent scrutiny of data quality and they, along with the increasing number of trained staff, will drive further improvements.

# **Community Impact**

The communities of Herefordshire have a legitimate expectation that the data used and created by the Council and its partners are of the necessary quality. It is important that there are systems to demonstrate that the potential for error is low and the risk is reducing. The necessary actions are largely internal without a direct impact on the community but the Council's reputation would suffer if it did not continue to improve the standards to which it and its partners work.

# **Financial Implications**

There are no financial implications. However, data quality is a key requirement underpinning grant claims and other financial returns to central government.

# **Legal Implications**

14 There are no legal implications arising directly from this report.

# **Risk Management**

Insufficient attention to data quality is currently corporate risk CR35. One of the key elements in the mitigation strategy is the completion and roll forward of the current action plan. The Audit Commission's last annual letter concluded that the authority has proper arrangements in place to ensure the accuracy of key performance data. However, this opinion largely relies on the Council being pro-active and continuing to deliver against an action plan.

## Consultees

16 Improvement managers in each Directorate and partners where relevant.

# **Appendices**

17 Appendix 1 Data quality action plan

# **Background Papers**

None identified.



#### APPENDIX 1 DATA QUALITY ACTION PLAN - SEPTEMBER 2009 UPDATE

#### REFERENCES IN [BRACKETS] RELATE TO AUDIT COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS IN THEIR DATA QUALITY AUDIT REPORT FEBRUARY 2008

KLOE Ref	Action	Detailed tasks (Those responsible)	Original Date	Revised Plan Date (proposed new date)	Date completed (RAG rated)	Reasons
2.1	2.1.3 Communicate policy to all external data sharing partners and partnerships and get them to sign up to the policy or provide higher standards	<b>12 Replies returned by</b> (Head of Policy and Performance)	June 14 <sup>th</sup> 2008	May 2009 (November 2009)	Underway (Amber)	6 replies are still to be received.
	[R7 Formal protocols with Council Partners need to be developed to ensure accuracy of data]	13 Identify and meet with partners who are unable to sign up etc. (Relevant managers and improvement managers)	End of June 2008	June 2009 (December 2009)	Not yet possible (Red)	Ultimately depends on the results of task 12 above. There has been no adverse reaction to date

Further information on the subject of this report is available from Dr Tony Geeson, Head of Policy and Performance on (01432) 261855

ACG6monthDQreport1120090.doc 26Nov08

KLOE Ref	Action	Detailed tasks (Those responsible)	Original Date	Revised Plan Date (proposed new date)	Date completed (RAG rated)	Reasons
	2.1.8 Include DQ requirements in all contracts, service level agreements and similar documents where this is relevant and not currently explicit set up monitoring systems starting with the highest risks	21 Contact all high risk organisations & those renewing during the Financial Year (originally 2008/09) (relevant managers)	End of May 2008	July 2009 (December 2009)	Underway (Amber)	Directorate contract monitoring officers are risk assessing the contract registers currently
	[R7 Formal protocols with Council partners need to be developed to ensure accuracy of data]	23 Insert appropriate DQ text where it is currently not explicit in new and renewing contracts (DCX legal and democratic services & relevant managers)	From March 31 2008	March 2009	Underway (Amber)	Text agreed with legal services. Ultimately linked to tasks and 24-26 below
		24 Consider appropriate monitoring systems (relevant managers and improvement managers)	May 2008	<b>July 2009</b> (December 2009)	Not yet started (Red)	
		25 Consult and advise all contractors (as task 24)	May 2008	August 2009 (January 2010)	Not yet started (Red)	Will follow on from the completion of task 21 above
		26 Implement monitoring systems (as task 24)	From June 2008	August 2009 (March) 2010)	Not yet started (Red)	

KLOE Ref	Action	Detailed tasks (Those responsible)	Original Date	Revised Plan Date (proposed new date)	Date completed (RAG rated)	Reasons
2.2	2.2.1 Existing corporate and directorate policies, procedures and guidelines [and amendments in future] to be promulgated in a variety of ways such as 121's, Staff Review & Development sessions (SRD's), service planning,	27 Notify all e-mail users, cascade via key managers (Head of Policy and Performance)	June 2008	<b>July 2009</b> (January 2010)	Not yet started (Red)	Now the documents have been identified this can begin
	emails, news and views, notice boards, performance clinics, team meetings, computer based training (CBT), leaflets and wider training etc [R9 Guidance for staff should be readily accessible for all involved in the compilation process and R10 Roles and responsibilities of all staff included within the DQ process need to be clearly defined]	28 Devise and include appropriate requirements in future appraisals for employees identified as needing training and get signatures for receipt of any documentation (Head of Policy and Performance, relevant mangers, DCX - HR)	April 2008 onwards	September 2009 (March 2010)	Not yet started (Red)	Now the employees have been identified work can begin with HR.
		29 Set up CBT links / tests for all documents sent staff identified through appraisals. (Head of Policy and Performance)	End of June 2008	October 2009 (March 2010)	Not yet started (Red)	Will follow task 28
		30 Poster campaign and N&V cascade (as task 29)	June 2008 onwards	<b>July 2009</b> (January 2010)	Not yet started (Red)	Should be coordinated with task 27
		31 Include in performance clinics, team meetings and training – the improvement managers to identify and log opportunities (relevant managers and improvement managers)	Ongoing	Ongoing	Underway (Amber)	A continuing process
2.2	2.2.3 Improvement managers to log examples of actions that improved DQ	34 Set up central log and monitor at each Improvement	From April	Ongoing	Underway	A continuing

KLOE Ref	Action	Detailed tasks (Those responsible)	Original Date	Revised Plan Date (proposed new date)	Date completed (RAG rated)	Reasons
	as they occur centrally and publicise these locally through N&V.  Authority wide publicity periodically	Network meeting (Head of Policy and Performance)	2008 onwards		(Amber)	process
4.2	4.2.4 Ultimately identify impacts of all residual systems on DQ staff skills and capacity and ensure training is provided where needed	36 Identify residual systems – Use the Hereford Connects audit as a starting place supplemented by paper systems which are out of the Connects scope (Hereford Connects Project manager & Improvement managers)	From April 2008	From April 2008 (January 2010)	Underway (Amber)	A continuing process as the scope of Connects becomes clear
4.2	4.2.7 Ensure DQ weaknesses identified by external or internal reviews are addressed by training or appropriate de-briefing sessions	Task 52 (relevant managers, improvement managers and internal audit)	Ongoing	Ongoing	Underway (Amber)	A continuing process.
	Task 53	Training programme for at least 150 key staff (Head of Policy and Performance / Information management group)	March 2010			
	Task 54	Data quality assessments of at least 24 performance indicators on a risk basis (Improvement managers / internal audit)	December 2009			
	Task 55	Consider a common format for directorate and service data quality procedures (Improvement managers)	October 2009	n/a	October 2009	The procedures are too variable to do this.

KLOE Ref	Action	Detailed tasks (Those responsible)	Original Date	Revised Plan Date (proposed new date)	Date completed (RAG rated)	Reasons
	Task 56	Consider a rolling programme of systems audits potentially involving the mapping of data flows and controls (Internal audit)	December 2009			
	Task 57	Implement PMR application as part of the Connects programme according to corporate priorities with appropriate data quality processes (Head of Policy and Performance)	March 2010			
	Task 58	Review of information sharing protocols (Records manager)	January 2010			
	Task 59	Revise data quality policy (Head of Policy and Performance)	April 2010			